

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

**In Re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig
“Deepwater Horizon” in the Gulf
of Mexico, on April 20, 2010**

**MDL NO. 2179
SECTION J**

Applies to: *All Cases*

**JUDGE BARBIER
MAGISTRATE JUDGE SHUSHAN**

**REPORT BY THE CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE DEEPWATER
HORIZON ECONOMIC AND PROPERTY DAMAGES SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT ON THE STATUS OF CLAIMS REVIEW**

STATUS REPORT NO.

32

DATE

APRIL 30, 2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

In re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig
“Deepwater Horizon” in the Gulf
of Mexico, on April 20, 2012

MDL NO. 2179
SECTION J

Applies to: *All Cases*

JUDGE BARBIER
MAGISTRATE JUDGE SHUSHAN

**REPORT BY THE CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE DEEPWATER HORIZON
ECONOMIC AND PROPERTY DAMAGES SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ON THE
STATUS OF CLAIMS REVIEW**

STATUS REPORT NO. 32, DATED APRIL 30, 2015

The Claims Administrator of the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Settlement Agreement (Settlement Agreement) submits this Report to inform the Court of the status of the implementation of the Settlement Agreement as of March 31, 2015. The Claims Administrator will provide any other information in addition to this Report as requested by the Court.

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS REVIEW PROCESSES AND CLAIM PAYMENTS

A. Claim Submissions.

1. Registration and Claim Forms.

The Claims Administrator opened the Settlement Program with needed functions staffed and operating on June 4, 2012, just over 30 days after the Claims Administrator’s appointment. The Claims Administrator’s Office and Vendors (CAO)¹ have received 239,822 Registration Forms and 299,831 Claim Forms since the Program opened on June 4, 2012, as shown in the Public Statistics for the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Damages Settlement (Public Report) attached as Exhibit A. Additionally, claimants have begun, but not fully completed and submitted,

¹ “Claims Administrator’s Office”, as used within this report, refers to the Claims Administrator and, where applicable, Court-Supervised Settlement Program vendors working with and under the Claims Administrator.

17,556 Claim Forms. The Forms are available online, in hard copy, or at Claimant Assistance Centers located throughout the Gulf.

Of the total Claim Forms submitted and the Claim Forms begun but not fully completed and submitted, 7.9% have been filed or are being filed within the Seafood Program, 18.2% have been filed or are being filed within the Individual Economic Loss (IEL) framework, and 38.6% have been filed or are being filed within the Business Economic Loss (BEL) framework (including Start-Up and Failed BEL Claims). *See* Ex. A, Table 2. Deepwater Horizon (DWH) staff at the Claimant Assistance Centers assisted in beginning and/or completing 38,119 of these Claim Forms. *See* Ex. A, Table 3.

On December 8, 2014, the United States Supreme Court declined request for a review of the Fifth Circuit’s rulings upholding the District Court’s Final Approval Order of the Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, the Effective Date of the Settlement Agreement is December 8, 2014, and the final deadline for filing all claims other than those that fall into the Seafood Compensation Program is June 8, 2015.

2. Minors, Incompetents, and Deceased Claimants.

The table below describes the claims filed on behalf of minors, incompetents, and deceased claimants in the Settlement Program.

Table 1. Minors, Incompetents, and Deceased Claimants.					
	Status	New Since Last Report	No Longer a Minor/Incompetent or Reclassified as an Estate Since Last Report	Change Since Last Report	Total Claimants
A. Minor Claimants					
1.	Claims Filed	1	0	+1	55
2.	Claims Within GADL Review	0	0	0	5
3.	Eligible for Payment	1	0	1	20
4.	Approval Orders Filed	3	N/A	+3	18

B. Incompetent Claimants					
1.	Claims Filed	0	1	-1	131
2.	Claims Within GADL Review	0	0	0	2
3.	Eligible for Payment	3	0	+3	74
4.	Approval Orders Filed	2	N/A	+2	66
C. Deceased Claimants					
1.	Claims Filed	19	5	+14	630
2.	Eligible for Payment	24	5	+19	288
3.	Approval Orders Filed	20	0	+20	220

3. Third Party Claims.

The CAO receives, processes, and pays the claims and/or liens asserted by attorneys, creditors, governmental agencies, or other third parties (Third Party Claims) against the payments to be made by the CAO to eligible claimants under the Settlement Agreement in accordance with Court Approved Procedure Order No. 1 (as entered September 9, 2012, and amended March 11, 2013).

The CAO requires a third party claimant to submit enforcement documentation soon after the initial Third Party Claim assertion. If a Third Party Claim assertion does not contain claimant-identifying information and/or the required enforcement documentation, the CAO sends the third party claimant an Acknowledgment Notice providing the third party claimant 20 days to submit the claimant-identifying information and/or the specified documentation required to support the Third Party Claim. If the third party claimant fails to submit the responsive information/documentation within 20 days, the CAO disallows the Third Party Claim and issues a Disallowed Notice to the third party claimant. The CAO issues a Notice of Enforced Third Party Claim to each claimant and third party claimant as soon as the CAO receives sufficient enforcement documentation, regardless of where any underlying Settlement Program Claim is in the review process. The claimant may, but is not required to, object to the Third Party Claim at this time. After the CAO sends an Eligibility Notice to the affected Settlement Program

Claimant against whom an Enforced Third Party Claim has been asserted (meaning that both the underlying claim and the Third Party Claim are payable), the CAO sends the claimant/claimant’s attorney and the third party claimant a Notice of Valid Third Party Claim, and the claimant has 20 days to notify the CAO of any objection to the Third Party Claim.

The CAO continues to process and pay Third Party Claims as reflected in Table 2 below.

Table 2. Third Party Claims.							
	Type of Third Party Claim (“TPC”)	TPCs Asserted	TPCs Asserted Against Claimants With a DHECC ID	TPCs Asserted Against Payable Claims	Valid TPCs Asserted Against Payable Claims	Claims with TPCs Paid/ Ready for Payment (TPClmt)	Claims with TPCs Paid/ Ready for Payment (Clmt)
1.	Attorney’s Fees	2,656	2,463	550	329	384	707
2.	IRS or State Tax Levies	1,096	905	87	68	60	96
3.	Individual Domestic Support Obligations	448	305	117	84	101	131
4.	Blanket State-Asserted Multiple Domestic Support Obligations	4 states	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0
5.	3rd Party Lien/Writ of Garnishment	999	454	47	22	14	14
6.	Claims Preparation/ Accounting	4,735	4,549	274	234	173	187
7.	TOTAL	9,934	8,676	1,075	737	732	1,135²

The CAO sends a Notice of Third Party Claim Dispute to all parties involved in a disputed Valid Third Party Claim. If the claimant and third party claimant are unable to resolve their dispute by agreement and if the dispute is over a Third Party Claim for attorney’s fees or fees associated with work performed in connection with a Settlement Program Claim, the claimant and third party claimant may participate in the Court-approved Third Party Claims

² A Third Party Claim can be asserted against one or more Settlement Program claims. Additionally, if the Third Party Claim amount is in dispute, the CAO pays the claimant the undisputed portion of the Settlement Payment. For these reasons, this total may not be equal to the total of the two preceding columns.

Dispute Resolution Process and will receive a Request for Third Party Claim Dispute Resolution Form with the Notice of Third Party Claim Dispute. Table 3 provides additional information about participation in the Third Party Claims Dispute Resolution Process.

Table 3. Third Party Claims Dispute Resolution Process.				
Eligible Disputes	Request Forms Received for Eligible Disputes	Records Provided to Adjudicator	Disputes Withdrawn	Final Decisions³
120	94	65	60	31

If the dispute is over a Third Party Claim asserted by a state or federal agency, the claimant must resolve the dispute in accordance with the applicable agency’s procedures. If the dispute is over the amount of a Third Party Claim based on a final judgment of a state or federal court, the CAO must receive either a written agreement between the parties or a copy of a subsequent modifying court order to validate the claimant’s objection;⁴ otherwise, the CAO will issue payment in satisfaction of the judgment to the third party claimant.

To date, the CAO has removed 2,050 lien holds due to parties releasing their Third Party Claims or resolving disputes.

B. Claims Review.

The CAO completed its first claim reviews and issued its first outcome notices on July 15, 2012, and its first payments on July 31, 2012. There are many steps involved in reviewing a claim so that it is ready for a notice.

1. Identity Verification.

³ Several factors affect when a Dispute is ripe for the Adjudicator to issue a Final Decision, including whether the Adjudicator has requested additional documentation or granted a Telephonic Hearing.

⁴ For a claimant to object to a Third Party Claim based on a final judgment of a state or federal court, the CAO requires additional evidence beyond a mere objection to delay or deny payment of the court-ordered debt.

The Claimant Identity Verification review is the first step in the DWH claims review process. The Identity Verification team conducts searches based on the Taxpayer Identification Numbers (TIN) of claimants to confirm that both the claimant's name and TIN exist and correspond with each other. The Identity Verification team has initiated verifications for 207,606 claimants. Of those, the CAO has matched the TIN and claimant's name to public records databases and verified identity for 113,380 claimants from the initial query through LexisNexis and/or Dun & Bradstreet. The CAO has reviewed the remaining 94,226 claimants to determine whether claimant identity could be verified after searching for typographical errors and name changes or after reviewing official documentation from the Internal Revenue Service or Social Security Administration. Of the remaining 94,226 claimants, the CAO has verified the identity of 89,924.

If the CAO cannot verify a claimant's identity after review, but it appears that additional documentation may allow the CAO to verify the claimant's identity, the CAO issues a Verification Notice to the claimant requesting such documentation. Verification Notice types include an SSN Notice, an ITIN Notice, and an EIN Notice. Claimants may receive more than one type of Verification Notice depending on the claimant's Taxpayer Type or if the claimant requests a change in his Taxpayer Type or TIN.

The CAO reviews the documentation that claimants submit in response to the Verification Notice to determine whether it is sufficient to verify identity. The table below contains information on the number of claimants verified by the CAO during an initial Identity Verification review, in addition to the type and number of TIN Verification Notices issued when the CAO could not verify identity after the initial review.

Table 4. Identity Verification Review Activity.				
	Claimant Status	Total Claimants	Total Claimants Verified After Review/Notice	Claimants Remaining to be Verified
1.	Under Review	596	N/A	596
2.	Verified During Review	71,035	71,035	N/A
3.	SSN Notice Issued After Review	3,069	2,338	731
4.	ITIN Notice Issued After Review	404	353	51
5.	EIN Notice Issued After Review	18,808	15,975	2,833
6.	EIN & ITIN Notice Issued After Review	44	31	13
7.	EIN & SSN Notice Issued After Review	265	188	77
8.	EIN, ITIN & SSN Notice Issued After Review	5	4	1
9.	Total	94,226	89,924	4,302

The following table contains information about the average time in days for claimants to provide documentation sufficient to verify the claimant’s identity after receiving a Verification Notice.

Table 5. Average Time to Cure Verification Notice.		
	Notices Type	Average Days to Cure
1.	SSN Notice	55
2.	ITIN Notice	31
3.	EIN Notice	38

When a claimant submits a Subsistence claim stating that he or she fished or hunted to sustain his or her basic personal and/or family’s dietary needs, the CAO verifies the identities of the claimed family members. To do so, the CAO attempts to match each claimed family member’s name and TIN to ensure that the family member exists and that the family member was not deceased prior to or at the time of the Spill or is not an overlapping dependent already identified. The CAO first attempts to match each family member’s name and TIN to public records databases through LexisNexis. To date, the CAO has sent 88,258 family members’ names and TINs, associated with 28,318 claims, to LexisNexis for verification. If a claimed family member’s identity cannot be verified through LexisNexis, the CAO reviews the claim file to determine

whether the claimed family member’s identity can be verified using information contained within the file. After each claimed family member’s identity has been verified or reviewed, the Subsistence team reviews the claim to determine eligibility for payment.

Table 6. Subsistence Family Member Identity Verification Activity.					
		Awaiting Review	Change from Last Report	Reviewed	Change from Last Report
1.	Number of Claims	0	0	16,499	580
2.	Number of Family Members	0	0	70,150	2,284

2. Employer Verification Review (EVR).

The EVR process ensures that all employees of the same business are treated uniformly and that each business is placed in the proper Zone. The EVR team also performs the analysis necessary to assign the proper NAICS code to a business. The EVR team has completed the EVR analysis for 265,755 businesses and rental properties.

From March 1, 2015, through March 31, 2015, the team completed the EVR process for 3,688 businesses and rental properties, and 3,839 business and rental properties were identified for review. The CAO continues to perform the EVR for new businesses and rental properties on a first-in, first-out basis.

3. Exclusions.

The Exclusions review process ensures that claims and claimants excluded under the Settlement Agreement are appropriately denied. The Exclusions team guides the reviewers and the EVR team when questions arise during the Exclusion review. Table 7 below shows the number of Denial Notices issued to date for each Exclusion Reason and the team responsible for making such a determination.

Table 7. Exclusions.				
	Exclusion Reason	Team Responsible	Denial Notices Since Last Report	Total Denial Notices
1.	GCCF Release	Exclusions	22	7,878
2.	BP/MDL 2179 Defendant		2	418
3.	US District Court for Eastern District of LA		0	23
4.	Not a Member of the Economic Class	Claims Reviewers	11	435
5.	Bodily Injury		0	6
6.	BP Shareholder		0	8
7.	Transocean/Halliburton Claim		0	0
8.	Governmental Entity	EVR	1	887
9.	Oil and Gas Industry		9	1,432
10.	BP-Branded Fuel Entity		0	263
11.	Menhaden Claim		0	20
12.	Financial Institution		1	358
13.	Gaming Industry		0	738
14.	Insurance Industry		1	249
15.	Defense Contractor		0	396
16.	Real Estate Developer		7	460
17.	Trust, Fund, Financial Vehicle		0	18
18.	Total Denial Notices from Exclusions		54	13,589

4. Claimant Accounting Support Reviews.

A special team handles Claimant Accounting Support (CAS) reviews. CAS reimbursement is available under the Settlement Agreement for IEL, BEL, and Seafood claims. After a claim has been determined to be payable and the Compensation Amount has been calculated, the CAS team reviews accounting invoices and CAS Sworn Written Statements submitted by the claimant. Table 8 includes information on the number of CAS reviews the CAO has completed to date, whether the Accounting Support documentation was complete, and the dollar amounts reimbursed for each Claim Type.

Table 8. Claimant Accounting Support.									
	Claim Type	CAS Review Results				Total CAS Review Results		CAS Dollar Amount Reimbursed	
		Complete		Incomplete		Since Last Report	Total to Date	Since Last Report	Total to Date
		Since Last Report	Total to Date	Since Last Report	Total to Date				
1.	BEL	624	15,838	92	2,044	716	17,882	\$817,372.39	\$21,784,116.80
2.	IEL	13	3,248	3	716	16	3,964	\$324.00	\$393,409.08
3.	Seafood	3	3,945	0	813	3	4,758	\$3,849.24	\$1,611,909.41
4.	TOTAL	640	23,031	95	3,573	735	26,604	\$821,545.63	\$23,789,435.29

5. Quality Assurance Review.

The Quality Assurance (QA) process addresses three fundamental needs of the Settlement Program: (a) it ensures that all claims reviewed within the system environment are reviewed in accordance with the provisions of the Settlement Agreement by targeting anomalous claim results through data metrics analysis; (b) it provides a mechanism to monitor reviewer performance and the tools necessary to efficiently and effectively provide feedback to reviewers; and (c) it identifies areas of review resulting in high discrepancy rates that require retraining or refined review procedures and data validations.

The CAO has implemented a reviewer follow-up process for all claim types reviewed within the system environment. The CAO provides daily follow-up to reviewers in the event a QA review of a particular claim produces a result different than that of the original review. The CAO also identifies specific reviewers who may require retraining and whether there are issues that warrant refresher training for all reviewers. Table 9 shows, by Claim Type, the number of claims identified for QA review, as well as the number of QA reviews which were completed, the number in progress, and the number awaiting review.

Table 9. Quality Assurance Reviews.⁵

	Claim Type	Total Reviews Needing QA To Date	QA Reviews Completed	% of QA Reviews Completed	QA Reviews in Progress	Claims Awaiting QA Review	QA Reviews Completed Since Last Report
1.	Seafood	25,506	25,408	99.6%	63	35	12
2.	IEL	36,508	35,187	96.4%	508	813	353
3.	BEL	38,913	37,795	97.1%	246	872	1,028
4.	Start-Up BEL	3,264	3,132	96.0%	11	121	108
5.	Failed BEL	2,817	2,740	97.3%	16	61	103
6.	Coastal RP	22,557	22,520	99.8%	20	17	228
7.	Wetlands RP	8,998	8,809	97.9%	47	142	274
8.	RPS	970	967	99.7%	2	1	4
9.	Subsistence	64,032	54,396	85.0%	948	8,688	4,269
10.	VoO	7,950	7,946	99.9%	0	4	2
11.	VPD	1,541	1,527	99.1%	3	11	0
12.	TOTAL	213,056	200,427	94.1%	1,864	10,765	6,381

6. Claim Type Review Details.

Table 10 provides information, by Claim Type, on the number of claims filed, the number of claims that have been reviewed to Notice, the number of claims remaining to be reviewed to Notice, and the number of claims reviewed to either a Notice or “Later Notice” to date. Table 10 divides the claims reviewed to a “Later Notice” into separate sections: (1) claims receiving a Notice based on CAO review following the submission of additional materials by a claimant in response to an Incompleteness Notice, and (2) claims receiving a Notice following a Reconsideration review conducted by the CAO.

⁵ Table 9 only includes system generated data that arise from Quality Assurance reviews of initial claim reviews that are performed within the confines of the system environment. Separate from the initial claim review, there are numerous ancillary steps within the overall claim review process in which Quality Assurance activities and measures are performed outside of the system environment.

Table 10. Throughput Analysis of Claims Filed and Notices Issued.

A. Claims Reviewed to First Notice										
	Claim Type	Status of All Claims Filed					Productivity From 3/1/15 Through 3/31/15			
		Total Claims Filed To Date	Reviews Completed to Notice or Closed		Claims Remaining to Review		New Claims Filed	Avg. Daily Claims Filed	Reviews Completed to First Notice	Avg. Daily Reviews to First Notice
1.	Seafood	24,780	24,512	98.9%	268	1.1%	7	<1	8	<1
2.	IEL	45,707	41,393	90.6%	4,314	9.4%	418	13	47	2
3.	IPV/FV	307	291	94.8%	16	5.2%	5	<1	-1 ⁶	<1
4.	BEL	109,027	77,200	70.8%	31,827	29.2%	773	25	2,235	72
5.	Start-Up BEL	6,082	5,056	83.1%	1,026	16.9%	88	3	123	4
6.	Failed BEL	4,164	3,569	85.7%	595	14.3%	102	3	118	4
7.	Coastal RP	38,184	37,785	99.0%	399	1.0%	289	9	233	8
8.	Wetlands RP	19,199	12,084	62.9%	7,115	37.1%	478	15	828	27
9.	RPS	1,757	1,740	99.0%	17	1.0%	12	<1	7	<1
10.	Subsistence	40,374	27,125	67.2%	13,249	32.8%	565	18	1,905	61
11.	VoO	8,788	8,745	99.5%	43	0.5%	4	<1	1	<1
12.	VPD	1,462	1,419	97.1%	43	2.9%	5	<1	1	<1
13.	TOTAL	299,831	240,919	80.4%	58,912	19.6%	2,746	89	5,505	178
B. Claims Reviewed to Later Notice										
	Claim Type	Initial or Preliminary Incompleteness Response			Follow-Up Incompleteness Responses			Requests for Reconsideration		
		Total Responses	Claims with Later Notice	Remaining Claims	Total Responses	Claims with Later Notice	Remaining Claims	Total Requests	Claims with Later Notice	Remaining Claims
1.	Seafood	5,955	5,507	448	2,868	2,652	216	3,775	3,512	263
2.	IEL	17,703	15,890	1,813	9,247	8,141	1,106	6,150	5,549	601
3.	IPV/FV	102	99	3	38	36	2	41	37	4
4.	BEL	41,694	27,317	14,377	18,543	11,673	6,870	9,371	6,313	3,058
5.	Start-Up BEL	2,850	2,205	645	1,670	1,216	454	862	582	280
6.	Failed BEL	1,218	938	280	755	519	236	651	515	136
7.	Coastal RP	5,814	5,681	133	1,679	1,653	26	2,079	2,049	30
8.	Wetlands RP	593	443	150	131	99	32	759	585	174
9.	RPS	334	330	4	120	118	2	221	218	3
10.	Subsistence	9,539	5,462	4,077	3,153	1,919	1,234	1,383	687	696
11.	VoO	951	935	16	404	392	12	636	627	9
12.	VPD	787	752	35	364	347	17	265	249	16
13.	TOTAL	87,540	65,559	21,981	38,972	28,765	10,207	26,193	20,923	5,270

⁶ The Claims Administrator identified one IPV/FV claim as a potential duplicate in March and removed this claim from the report resulting in a decrease of one in the total number of IPV/FV claims with a First Notice.

C. Claim Payments.

1. Notices and Payments.

Tables 4 and 5 of the Public Report attached in Exhibit A provide detail on the notices and payments issued to date. As of March 31, 2015, the CAO has issued 90,724 Eligibility Notices to unique claims with Payment Offers totaling \$5.54 billion. As of that date, the CAO has made \$4.98 billion in payments on 84,097 claims.

2. Claimants in Bankruptcy.

The CAO reviews each claimant who indicates an open bankruptcy on the Registration Form (Debtor Claimant) to determine whether the claimant has submitted sufficient documentation from the applicable bankruptcy court to issue payment. If the CAO determines that the claimant is not a Debtor Claimant per the Procedure for Disposition of Claims by Claimants in Bankruptcy (Proc-445), or if the claimant submits sufficient documentation for the CAO to issue payment on all active claims, the CAO will remove the Bankruptcy Hold.

Table 11 provides information about the status of claimants identified as Debtor Claimants, including information on notices issued to those claimants.

Table 11. Claimants in Bankruptcy.			
1.	Identified Claimants in Bankruptcy	Total	Change Since Last Report
(a)	Claimants with Active Bankruptcy Holds	1,913	+42
(b)	Claimants with Removed Bankruptcy Holds	1,100	+8
2.	Bankruptcy Notices Issued	Total	Change Since Last Report
(a)	Debtor Claimant in Bankruptcy Notices	428	+14
(b)	Bankruptcy Trustee Communication Notices	99	+7
(c)	Bankruptcy Trustee Informational Notices	71	0

D. Re-Reviews, Reconsiderations, and Appeals.

1. Re-Reviews and Outcomes.

The CAO implemented a Re-Review process beginning on January 18, 2013, that provides claimants with the opportunity to request a Re-Review of their claim within 30 days of the issuance of an Eligibility or Denial Notice if the claimant has additional documentation not previously submitted to support its claim. Following a Re-Review, claimants receive a Post Re-Review Notice, from which they may then request Reconsideration if they wish. To date, there have been 100,364 Eligibility or Denial Notices issued from which claimants can or could seek Re-Review. Of those, 2,314 are still within the 30-day window to seek Re-Review and Re-Review has not yet been requested, leaving 98,050 claims for which the window to seek Re-Review has passed. Of those, claimants have requested Re-Review of 10,604 claims. Thus, the rate of Re-Review from all final determinations is 10.8%. The rate of Re-Review from Eligibility Notices is 7.0%, while the rate of Re-Review from Denial Notices is 20.3%.

Table 12 summarizes the Re-Reviews the CAO has completed, the number of Post Re-Review Notices the CAO has issued, and whether the outcome of the Re-Review resulted in an award that was higher than (), lower than (), or the same as () the outcome previously issued. The table also includes information on whether an original Exclusion Denial was confirmed or overturned on Re-Review.

Table 12. Re-Reviews.					
A. Re-Review Requests and Reviews					
	Claim Type	Requests Received To Date	Reviews Completed To Date		
			Total	Completed Since Last Report	Average Weekly Reviews
1.	Seafood	863	839	2	7
2.	IEL	896	859	7	8
3.	IPV/FV	12	11	0	<1
4.	BEL	3,494	3,103	211	28
5.	Start-Up BEL	259	180	25	2
6.	Failed BEL	226	204	6	2
7.	Coastal RP	1,045	1,027	6	9
8.	Wetlands RP	1,503	379	16	3

9.	RPS		95		92		0	<1
10.	Subsistence		2,098		356		39	3
11.	VoO		61		61		0	<1
12.	VPD		52		50		0	<1
13.	TOTAL		10,604		7,161		312	64
B. Re-Review Notices Issued								
	Claim Type	Notices Issued or Claims Closed		Outcome of Re-Review Notice				
		Total to Date	Weekly Average	Compensation Amount for Eligible Claims			Exclusions/Denials	
							Confirmed	Overturned
1.	Seafood	787	7	415	40	232	95	5
2.	IEL	802	7	192	79	254	270	7
3.	IPV/FV	11	<1	0	0	0	11	0
4.	BEL	2,709	24	690	104	165	1,734	16
5.	Start-Up BEL	156	1	22	6	4	123	1
6.	Failed BEL	187	2	2	5	1	179	0
7.	Coastal RP	1,025	9	47	4	122	816	36
8.	Wetlands RP	358	3	29	9	31	282	7
9.	RPS	92	<1	1	0	3	75	13
10.	Subsistence	306	3	185	35	54	32	0
11.	VoO	60	<1	7	5	17	29	2
12.	VPD	46	<1	20	0	13	12	1
13.	TOTAL	6,539	58	1,610	287	896	3,658	88

2. Reconsideration Reviews and Outcomes.

To date, there have been 186,867 Eligibility, Denial, or Incompleteness Denial Notices issued from which claimants can or could seek Reconsideration. Of those, 5,374 are still within the 30 day window to seek Reconsideration and Reconsideration has not yet been requested, leaving 181,493 claims for which the window to seek Reconsideration has passed. Of those, claimants have requested Reconsideration of 26,193 claims. Thus, the rate of Reconsideration from all final determinations is 14.4%. The rate of Reconsideration from Eligibility Notices is 5.1%, while the rate of Reconsideration from Denial and Incompleteness Denial Notices is 24.2%.

Table 13 summarizes the Reconsiderations the CAO has completed, the number of Post-Reconsideration Notices the CAO has issued, and whether the outcome of the Reconsideration

review resulted in an award that was higher than (), lower than (), or the same as () the outcome previously issued. The table also includes information on whether an original Exclusion Denial was confirmed or overturned on Reconsideration.

Table 13. Reconsideration.								
A. Reconsideration Requests and Reviews								
	Claim Type	Requests Received To Date	Reviews Completed To Date					
			Total	Completed Since Last Report	Average Weekly Reviews			
1.	Seafood	3,775	3,605	4	27			
2.	IEL	6,150	5,845	52	44			
3.	IPV/FV	41	37	0	<1			
4.	BEL	9,371	8,189	458	62			
5.	Start-Up BEL	862	783	32	6			
6.	Failed BEL	651	597	1	5			
7.	Coastal RP	2,079	2,052	4	16			
8.	Wetlands RP	759	592	6	4			
9.	RPS	221	219	0	2			
10.	Subsistence	1,383	822	115	6			
11.	VoO	636	631	0	5			
12.	VPD	265	258	0	2			
13.	TOTAL	26,193	23,630	672	179			
B. Reconsideration Notices Issued								
	Claim Type	Notices Issued or Claims Closed		Outcome of Reconsideration Notice				
		Total to Date	Weekly Average	Compensation Amount for Eligible Claims			Exclusions/Denials	
							Confirmed	Overturned
1.	Seafood	3,512	26	779	166	489	1,741	337
2.	IEL	5,549	41	159	112 ⁷	419	3,535	1,324
3.	IPV/FV	37	<1	0	0	0	36	1
4.	BEL	6,313	46	406	158	293	3,190	2,266
5.	Start-Up BEL	582	4	10	12	13	299	248
6.	Failed BEL	515	4	1	6	2	421	85
7.	Coastal RP	2,049	15	97	22	410	1,252	268
8.	Wetlands RP	585	4	37	3	56	463	26
9.	RPS	218	2	1	0	4	192	21
10.	Subsistence	687	5	50	9	23	441	164

⁷ Three IEL claimants have requested Reconsideration a second time after their claims, which were previously determined to be eligible before Reconsideration, were denied for incompleteness reasons after Reconsideration.

Table 13. Reconsideration.								
11.	VoO	627	5	58	6	122	383	58
12.	VPD	249	2	48	5	17	112	67
13.	TOTAL	20,923	153	1,646	499	1,848	12,065	4,865

3. Appeals.

(a) BP Appeals.

To date, the CAO has issued 22,767 Eligibility Notices that meet or exceed the threshold amount rendering them eligible for appeal by BP. Of those, 184 Notices are still within the timeframe in which BP can file an appeal and BP has not yet done so, leaving 22,583 Notices that BP has either appealed or for which the deadline for BP to file an appeal has passed. Of those 22,583 Notices, BP has filed 6,057 appeals, a 26.8% appeal rate. Table 14 provides summary information on the status of BP appeals.

Table 14. Status of BP Appeals.				
A. Appeal Filing/Resolution				
	Status	As of Last Report	Since Last Report	Total
1.	BP Appeals Filed	5,963	94	6,057
2.	Resolved Appeals	5,382	217	5,599
(a).	Resolved by Panel Decision	2,053	136	2,189
(b).	Resolved by Parties	780	58	838
(c).	Remand to Claims Administrator	125	6	131
(d).	Administratively Closed	423	0	423
(e).	Withdrawn	345	13	358
(f).	Inactive Under Reconsideration/Re-Review	211	4	215
(g).	Return for Review Under Policy 495	1,445	0	1,445
B. Pending Appeals				
1.	In "Baseball" Process		157	
2.	Submitted to Panel		112	
3.	Under Discretionary Court Review		189	
4.	TOTAL PENDING		458	

(b) Claimant Appeals.

Before a claimant may file an appeal, the claimant must request Reconsideration and receive a Post-Reconsideration Eligibility or Denial Notice. To date, the CAO has issued 9,379 Post-Reconsideration Eligibility and Denial Notices. Of those, 151 Notices are still within the timeframe in which the claimant can file an appeal and the claimant has not yet done so, leaving 9,228 Notices that the claimant has either appealed or for which the deadline for the claimant to file an appeal has passed. Of those 9,228 Notices, claimants have filed 1,873 appeals, a 20.3% appeal rate. Of the 1,873 claimant appeals, 1,274 are appeals of Post-Reconsideration Denial Notices, while 599 are appeals of Post-Reconsideration Eligibility Notices. Table 15 provides summary information on the status of Claimant Appeals.

Table 15. Status of Claimant Appeals.				
A. Appeal Filing/Resolution				
	Status	As of Last Report	Since Last Report	Total
1.	Claimant Appeals Filed	1,833	40	1,873
2.	Resolved Appeals	1,534	62	1,596
(a).	Resolved by Panel Decision	1,199	50	1,249
(b).	Resolved by Parties	89	0	89
(c).	Remand to Claims Administrator	56	10	66
(d).	Administratively Closed	59	2	61
(e).	Withdrawn	41	0	41
(f).	Return for Review Under Policy 495	90	0	90
B. Pending Appeals				
1.	In “Baseball” Process		27	
2.	In “Non-Baseball” Process		83	
3.	Submitted to Panel		40	
4.	Under Discretionary Court Review		127	
5.	TOTAL PENDING		277	

(c) Resolved Appeals.

As reported in the table below, 7,195 appeals have been resolved. Table 16 provides a summary of these resolved appeals by Claim Type. The comparison between the Post-Appeal

Award Amount and the Award Amount within the original notice does not take into consideration the 5.0% increase in compensation that a claimant who prevails upon appeal receives.

Table 16. Outcome After Appeal.

Table 16. Outcome After Appeal.												
Claim Type		Appeals Settled or Decided by Panel						With- drawn	Admin. Closed	Inactive Under Recon./Re- Review	Return for Review Under Policy 495	Total
		Compensation Amount Following Appeal Compared to That of Original Notice										
		Higher	Lower	Same	Denial Upheld	Denial Over- turned	Remand					
1.	Seafood	75	21	158	47	4	21	52	9	9	0	396
2.	IEL	26	68	115	107	13	49	14	18	8	0	418
3.	IPV/FV	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	3
4.	BEL	63	930	1,726	358	86	107	283	436	182	1,535	5,706
5.	Coastal RP	37	1	25	111	7	2	8	8	0	0	199
6.	Wetlands RP	3	10	6	48	2	0	3	3	16	0	91
7.	RPS	0	6	18	38	0	0	4	2	0	0	68
8.	Subsistence	0	0	4	0	0	1	0	3	0	0	8
9.	VoO	16	31	47	55	20	5	27	4	0	0	205
10.	VPD	2	28	31	20	0	12	8	0	0	0	101
11.	TOTAL	222	1,095	2,130	785	133	197	399	484	215	1,535	7,195

(d) Incompleteness Appeals.

The Appeal for Insufficient Documentation (Incompleteness Appeal) allows Economic Class Members to have their claims reviewed by a separate Documentation Reviewer when the CAO denies their claims because of insufficient documentation. The Documentation Reviewer reviews the claimant’s documentation to determine whether the Program correctly denied the claim.

Before sending the claim to the Documentation Reviewer, the CAO reviews the appeal request along with any newly submitted documents. If the claimant has submitted the requested documentation and cured the incompleteness, the CAO issues the appropriate Notice. If the

claimant still has not submitted the requested documentation, the CAO sends the claim to the Documentation Reviewer for review.

Before a claimant may file an appeal of an Incompleteness Denial, the claimant must request Reconsideration and receive a Post-Reconsideration Incompleteness Denial Notice. To date, the CAO has issued 6,359 Post-Reconsideration Incompleteness Denial Notices. Of those, 234 Notices are still within the timeframe in which the claimant can file an appeal, leaving 6,125 Notices for which the claimant’s appeal deadline has passed. Of the 6,125 Notices eligible for appeal, 2,991 (48.8%) appeal requests have been filed. Table 17 provides summary information on the status of Incompleteness Appeals.

Table 17. Incompleteness Appeals.				
A. Incompleteness Appeal Filing/Resolution				
	Status	As of Last Report	Since Last Report	Total
1.	Incompleteness Appeals Filed	2,746	245	2,991
2.	Appeals Resolved	1,919	61	1,980
(a).	Withdrawn/Closed Claims	18	0	18
(b).	Cured	386	38	424
(c).	Incompleteness Denial Affirmed	1,455	20	1,475
(d).	Incompleteness Denial Overturned	60	3	63
B. Pending Incompleteness Appeals				
3.	In Pre-Documentation Reviewer Process		788	
4.	Currently Before Documentation Reviewer		223	
5.	TOTAL PENDING		1,011	

As reported in Table 17 above, 1,980 Incompleteness Appeals have been resolved.

E. Seafood Supplemental Distribution

The Settlement Agreement calls for BP to finance a \$2.3 billion Seafood Compensation Program Settlement Fund. The Settlement Agreement states that any balance available after the first distribution will be distributed to each claimant in proportion to the claimant’s gross compensation, unless the Court-Appointed Seafood Neutrals recommend a different formula. On

September 19, 2014, the Seafood Neutrals submitted to the Court their Recommendations for the Seafood Compensation Program Supplemental Distribution (which can be located on the Program's Website). On November 18, 2014, the Court approved the Seafood Supplemental Distribution formula established under the Court-Designated Neutrals' Recommendations for Seafood Compensation Program Supplemental Distribution. The Court approved a partial Supplemental Distribution targeted at \$500 million of the remaining undistributed portion of the aggregate \$2.3 billion fund for the Seafood Compensation Program. Payments will be disbursed in multiple phases.

The Seafood Neutrals also recommended that if a claimant or BP disagrees with a claimant's award in Round Two, the challenge must be limited to whether the formulas described in Sections II (A) and III (F) of the Recommendations were properly implemented with respect to the individual claim at issue. The Seafood Neutrals also recommended that the Claims Administrator have the discretion and authority to promulgate procedural and evidentiary rules as well as limit and define appellate rights. On November 18, 2014, the Court approved the Seafood Neutrals' Recommendations in full, and on December 29, 2014, the Claims Administrator promulgated Rules Governing the Seafood Supplemental Distribution Calculation Objection Process pursuant to the Court-approved Seafood Neutrals' recommendations.

As of March 31, 2015, the Settlement Program has issued 3,629 Seafood Supplemental Distribution Eligibility Notices for a total Supplemental Distribution Value of \$351,090,561. The Seafood Supplemental Distribution Notices are included in the report where appropriate. As of March 31, 2015, 1,713 of those Notices had been accepted by the relevant claimants; 26 of those 3,629 Notices had been objected to by the relevant claimants⁸; and 22 of the claimant objections

⁸ BP initially objected to 22 Seafood Supplemental Distribution Notices, but subsequently withdrew each of those objections.

were resolved. As of March 31, 2015, the Settlement Program issued payments of \$328,808,283 from the Supplemental Distribution to 2,944 claimants.

II. CLAIMANT OUTREACH EFFORTS

The CAO has continued its claimant outreach efforts since the previous Court Status Report as detailed below.

A. Law Firm Contacts.

The Law Firm Contact Team continued multiple outreach campaigns to help firms overcome documentation deficiencies and answer questions posed by reviewers. The Law Firm Contact Team continued daily outreach assignments requesting new Forms 4506 and/or 4506-T or notifying firms of deficient Forms 4506 and/or 4506-T. Firm Contacts participated in outreach campaigns to firms to address claims awaiting payment with incomplete Identity Verification documents and Seafood claimants with positive Supplemental Distribution award amounts who did not submit a Release or other required payment documents. The Law Firm Contact Team continues to assist firms by providing information concerning claim statuses and claim determinations.

B. Claimant Communications Center (CCC).

The CCC continued claimant outreach efforts across various claim types and review teams. The CCC continued specific outreach to Individual Economic Loss claimants regarding incomplete pay-period earnings records and to pro se claimants who submitted insufficient Forms 4506 and/or 4506-T. The CCC also conducted outreach to Subsistence claimants who provided incomplete authorization forms. The CCC agents continue to field calls from claimants with questions about their claim status and notices.

C. Claimant Assistance Centers (CACs).

The CACs complete outreach assignments as a secondary task to meeting with claimants and answering DWH-related questions. The CACs continued to participate in ongoing outreach efforts, including those to claimants with updated representation statuses, unfinished claim forms, and insufficient Forms 4506 and/or 4506-T in accordance with Policy 70 v.2. In addition, the CACs continued outreach calls to claimants who provided incomplete identity information and to claimants with incomplete claims. To date, the CACs have helped to complete over 178,000 calls for the Claimant Outreach Program.

D. Summary of Outreach Calls.

Table 18 summarizes some of the Claimant Outreach Program efforts as of March 31, 2015.

Table 18. Outreach Call Volume.							
	Location	Calls Made	Incomplete Claims Affected	Claims With New Docs After Call	% of Claims With New Docs After Call	Claimants Visiting CAC After Call	% of Claimants Visiting CAC After Call
1.	BrownGreer	178,506	39,118	30,963	79.2%	13,527	34.6%
2.	Garden City Group	73,819	8,873	6,718	75.7%	705	8.0%
3.	P&N	70,758	17,250	15,640	90.7%	299	1.7%
4.	PwC	814	372	362	97.3%	12	3.2%
5.	TOTAL	323,897	65,613	53,683	81.8%	14,543	22.2%

III. CLAIM FILING DEADLINE REMINDER NOTICE

Section 8.1.3 of the Settlement Agreement requires the Class Notice Administrator to disseminate a Court-approved reminder notice of the claims filing deadline to potential Class Members. The Class Notice Administrator began issuing notices in March, 2015 and will continue through April, 2015.

IV. CONCLUSION

The Claims Administrator offers this Report to ensure that the Court is informed of the status of the Program to date. If the Court would find additional information helpful, the Claims Administrator stands ready to provide it at the Court's convenience.

 /s/ Patrick Juneau
PATRICK A. JUNEAU
CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the above and foregoing pleading has been served on All Counsel by electronically uploading the same to LexisNexis File & Serve in accordance with Pretrial Order No. 12, and that the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of Court of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana by using the CM/ECF System, which will send a notice of electronic filing in accordance with the procedures established in MDL 2179, on this 30th day of April, 2015.

 /s/ Patrick Juneau
PATRICK A. JUNEAU
CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR

April 1, 2015

Claims Administrator Patrick Juneau has announced that the Settlement Program began issuing payments on July 31, 2012, and has been issuing outcome Notices since July 15, 2012. The Program will issue Notices on a rolling basis as we complete reviews, and they will include Eligibility Notices, Incompleteness Notices, and Denial Notices. Each Notice will provide information explaining the outcome. We will post Notices on the secure DWH Portal for any law firm or unrepresented claimant who uses the DWH Portal. We will notify firms and unrepresented claimants by email at the end of each day if we have posted a Notice that day. Firms and unrepresented claimants may then log onto the DWH Portal to see a copy of the Notice(s). Law Firms or claimants who do not use the DWH Portal will receive Notices in the mail. Claimants who receive an Eligibility Notice and qualify for a payment will receive that payment after all appeal periods have passed, if applicable, and the claimant has submitted all necessary paperwork, including a fully executed Release and Covenant Not to Sue.

Table 1	Filings by State of Residence								
	State	Registration Forms				Claims			
		Form Begun	Form Submitted	Total	%	Form Begun	Form Submitted	Total	%
1.	Alabama	802	44,366	45,168	18.4%	2,365	53,834	56,199	17.7%
2.	Florida	1,950	78,459	80,409	32.7%	5,377	87,885	93,262	29.4%
3.	Louisiana	1,524	59,247	60,771	24.7%	6,629	79,680	86,309	27.2%
4.	Mississippi	520	31,404	31,924	13.0%	1,122	35,849	36,971	11.7%
5.	Texas	239	11,937	12,176	5.0%	736	17,033	17,769	5.6%
6.	Other	977	14,409	15,386	6.3%	1,327	25,550	26,877	8.5%
7.	Total	6,012	239,822	245,834	100.0%	17,556	299,831	317,387	100.0%

Chart 1: Filings by State of Residence




Table 2	Number of Claims by Claim Type					
	Claim Type	Claims				Unique Claimants with Form Submitted
		Form Begun	Form Submitted	Total	%	
1.	Seafood Compensation Program	410	24,780	25,190	7.9%	10,504
2.	Individual Economic Loss	12,062	45,707	57,769	18.2%	44,389
3.	Individual Periodic Vendor or Festival Vendor Economic Loss	187	307	494	0.2%	303
4.	Business Economic Loss	2,545	109,027	111,572	35.2%	81,834
5.	Start-Up Business Economic Loss	281	6,082	6,363	2.0%	5,086
6.	Failed Business Economic Loss	269	4,164	4,433	1.4%	3,688
7.	Coastal Real Property	790	38,184	38,974	12.3%	26,599
8.	Wetlands Real Property	134	19,199	19,333	6.1%	3,805
9.	Real Property Sales	190	1,757	1,947	0.6%	1,401
10.	Subsistence	544	40,374	40,918	12.9%	40,188
11.	VoO Charter Payment	79	8,788	8,867	2.8%	6,204
12.	Vessel Physical Damage	65	1,462	1,527	0.5%	1,241
13.	Total	17,556	299,831	317,387	100.0%	206,908

Public Statistics for the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Damages Settlement
 April 1, 2015

Chart 2: Number of Claims by Claim Type




Table 3	Filings by Claimant Assistance Center								
	Claimant Assistance Center	Registration Forms				Claims			
		Form Begun	Form Submitted	Total	%	Form Begun	Form Submitted	Total	%
1.	Apalachicola, FL	27	1,507	1,534	4.9%	39	2,168	2,207	5.8%
2.	Bay St. Louis , MS	8	610	618	2.0%	27	755	782	2.1%
3.	Bayou La Batre, AL	16	1,028	1,044	3.3%	45	1,126	1,171	3.1%
4.	Biloxi , MS	36	1,590	1,626	5.2%	66	2,057	2,123	5.6%
5.	Bridge City, TX	2	419	421	1.4%	16	792	808	2.1%
6.	Clearwater, FL	63	2,576	2,639	8.5%	324	2,259	2,583	6.8%
7.	Cut Off, LA	12	502	514	1.7%	24	766	790	2.1%
8.	Fort Myers, FL	0	14	14	<0.1%	2	12	14	<0.1%
9.	Fort Walton Beach , FL	8	1,329	1,337	4.3%	45	1,823	1,868	4.9%
10.	Grand Isle, LA	4	144	148	0.5%	5	227	232	0.6%
11.	Gretna/Harvey, LA	34	2,193	2,227	7.1%	45	2,205	2,250	5.9%
12.	Gulf Shores, AL	18	2,152	2,170	7.0%	67	2,830	2,897	7.6%
13.	Houma, LA	22	809	831	2.7%	41	1,047	1,088	2.9%
14.	Lafitte, LA	6	357	363	1.2%	11	488	499	1.3%
15.	Lake Charles, LA	0	26	26	0.1%	1	32	33	0.1%
16.	Metairie, LA	0	89	89	0.3%	4	130	134	0.4%
17.	Mobile, AL	74	7,745	7,819	25.0%	186	8,472	8,658	22.7%
18.	Naples, FL	21	1,386	1,407	4.5%	38	1,286	1,324	3.5%
19.	New Orleans – CBD BG, LA	13	348	361	1.2%	16	362	378	1.0%
20.	New Orleans East, LA	42	2,089	2,131	6.8%	98	2,473	2,571	6.7%
21.	Panama City Beach, FL	20	2,399	2,419	7.8%	95	3,734	3,829	10.0%
22.	Pensacola, FL	29	1,458	1,487	4.8%	71	1,809	1,880	4.9%
23.	Total	455	30,770	31,225	100.0%	1,266	36,853	38,119	100.0%

Chart 3: Number of Claims by Claimant Assistance Center




Table 4	Notices Issued												
	Claim Type	Eligible - Payable	Eligible - No Payment	Incomplete	Denial					Opt-Outs	Withdrawn	Closed	Total Claims Issued Notice
					Exclusion Denials	Prior GCCF Release	Causation Denials	Other Denials	Incomplete Denials				
1.	Seafood Compensation Program	12,566	1,523	528	49	2,427	0	504	4,742	1,165	2,533	2,021	28,058
2.	Individual Economic Loss	6,173	1,544	3,270	3,271	1,981	98	1,116	20,001	708	1,977	3,235	43,374
3.	Individual Periodic Vendor or Festival Vendor Economic Loss	8	0	10	4	24	0	68	138	3	87	28	370
4.	Business Economic Loss	18,641	540	28,404	1,426	690	4,409	1,332	13,819	810	4,627	2,536	77,234
5.	Start-Up Business Economic Loss	658	27	1,558	55	46	178	376	1,616	90	197	309	5,110
6.	Failed Business Economic Loss	35	21	662	59	103	346	822	953	111	131	372	3,615
7.	Coastal Real Property	27,458	57	139	10	860	0	4,984	1,542	369	490	2,044	37,953
8.	Wetlands Real Property	5,940	11	220	28	72	0	3,889	112	85	187	1,497	12,041
9.	Real Property Sales	808	6	6	10	67	32	556	84	14	79	118	1,780
10.	Subsistence	10,590	647	7,880	20	1,331	0	133	5,002	191	389	881	27,064
11.	VoO Charter Payment	7,031	19	16	16	0	0	597	723	93	67	121	8,683
12.	Vessel Physical Damage	816	21	53	5	0	0	120	237	21	42	97	1,412
13.	Total	90,724	4,416	42,746	4,953	7,601	5,063	14,497	48,969	3,660	10,806	13,259	246,694

Public Statistics for the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Damages Settlement
April 1, 2015

Table 5	Payment Information							
	Claim Type	Eligibility Notices Issued with Payment Offer		Accepted Offers		Payments Made		
		Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Unique Claimants Paid
1.	Seafood Compensation Program	12,566	\$1,482,596,975	11,440	\$1,458,716,795	11,071	\$1,435,551,065	4,828
2.	Individual Economic Loss	6,173	\$75,996,040	5,862	\$73,851,188	5,639	\$68,725,728	5,639
3.	Individual Periodic Vendor or Festival Vendor Economic Loss	8	\$77,085	8	\$77,085	8	\$77,085	8
4.	Business Economic Loss	18,641	\$3,118,452,631	17,742	\$2,984,387,436	16,365	\$2,637,663,140	15,414
5.	Start-Up Business Economic Loss	658	\$125,889,524	617	\$119,713,508	597	\$111,413,477	571
6.	Failed Business Economic Loss	35	\$3,083,159	32	\$2,887,487	28	\$2,846,288	28
7.	Coastal Real Property	27,458	\$152,217,062	26,929	\$149,195,380	26,533	\$147,144,854	20,860
8.	Wetlands Real Property	5,940	\$174,137,510	5,816	\$150,570,145	5,675	\$149,638,271	1,502
9.	Real Property Sales	808	\$38,557,348	797	\$38,121,988	788	\$37,612,850	694
10.	Subsistence	10,590	\$74,600,608	7,087	\$55,685,654	6,426	\$49,628,051	6,426
11.	VoO Charter Payment	7,031	\$280,891,637	7,013	\$278,856,409	6,971	\$277,746,518	5,305
12.	Vessel Physical Damage	816	\$12,772,911	807	\$12,660,566	776	\$11,954,331	724
13.	Totals on DWH Releases	90,724	\$5,539,272,489	84,150	\$5,324,723,641	80,877	\$4,930,001,657	57,982
14.	Paid As 40% Payments to Claimants with Transition Payments					3,220	\$53,007,205	3,220
15.	Total Payments:					84,097	\$4,983,008,862	61,202

Table 6	Appeals Received			
	Resolved Appeals			
	Appeal Status	BP Appeals	Claimant Appeals	Total Appeals
1.	Resolved by Panel Decision	2,189	1,249	3,438
2.	Resolved by Parties	838	89	927
3.	Withdrawn	358	41	399
4.	Administratively Closed	423	61	484
5.	Inactive Under Reconsideration/Re-Review	215	0	215
6.	Remand to Claims Administrator	131	66	197
7.	Return for Review Under Policy 495	1,445	90	1,535
8.	Total	5,599	1,596	7,195
Pending Appeals				
9.	In "Baseball" Process	157	27	184
10.	In "Non-Baseball" Process	0	83	83
11.	Submitted to Panel	112	40	152
12.	Under Discretionary Court Review	189	127	316
13.	Total	458	277	735
Grand Total				
14.		6,057	1,873	7,930

Chart 4: Registration and Claim Forms Filed by Month




Chart 5: Notices Issued by Month




Chart 6: Payments Made by Month





Chart 7: Appeal Resolutions by Month



Legend:

1. Form Begun - Includes electronically filed registration or claim forms for the period of time between the moment a claimant or his attorney has initiated the submission of a form and moment they complete that filing by submitting the electronic signature. This definition also includes hard copy registration or claim forms where the DWH Intake Team is in the process of linking the scanned images and has not yet completed the data entry on that form.
2. Form Submitted - Includes electronically filed registration or claim forms after the claimant or his attorney completes the electronic signature and clicks the submit button. This definition also includes hard copy registration or claim forms where the DWH Intake Team has completed both the linking of scanned images and the data entry on that form.
3. Unique Claimants with Form Submitted - Counts the unique number of claimants with at least one Claim Form Submitted for each Claim Type. Because claimants may file claims for more than one Claim Type, the sum of all Claim Types will not equal the count of total unique claimants.
4. Filings by Claimant Assistance Center- The following Claimant Assistance Centers in Table 3 and Chart 3 are closed: Bayou La Batre, AL, Gulf Shores, AL, Apalachicola, FL, Ft. Walton Beach, FL, Naples, FL, Grand Isle, LA, Gretna/Harvey, LA, Houma, LA, New Orleans East, LA, Bay St. Louis, MS, Bridge City, TX.
5. Notices Issued - The Seafood Compensation Program row (row 1) of Table 4 includes Seafood Supplemental Distribution Claims. The count of Notices Issued in Table 4 counts each unique claim issued a Notice only once. For claims issued multiple Notices, this report uses the following hierarchy when counting the claim: (1) Eligibility Notice if the claim has been paid; (2) Most recent active Notice if the claim has not been paid; (3) If the claim has been closed it will not be counted as an Eligibility Notice unless the claim has been paid. The count of Notices Issued in Chart 5, counts all Notices Issued and reports claims with multiple Notices once for each Notice issued. Because of this, the totals reported in Table 4 do not match the totals reported in Chart 5.
6. Payment Information - The timing of payment can be affected by a number of factors. Even after the DHECC receives a Release, delay in receipt of a W-9, or in receipt of the Attorney Fee Acknowledgment Form can delay payment. In addition, any alterations or omissions on the Release Form, or an assertion of a third-party lien against an award amount, can delay payment. As a result, this report will show a higher number of Accepted Offers than Amounts Paid. The Seafood Compensation Program row (row 1) of Table 5 includes Seafood Supplemental Distribution Claims.
7. Appeals Received - Excludes Appeals closed pursuant to 4/24/2013 Court Order.
8. Note: The Claims Administrator continually monitors the status of all claim filings. Through this process, the Claims Administrator may find duplicate claims from the same claimant. In such cases, the Claims Administrator will close the duplicate claim and only process the remaining valid claim. This report excludes duplicate claims from all counts of claims filed.
9. Note: The Seafood Supplemental Distribution Notices are included in the Exhibit A as appropriate.
10. Note: The final deadline for filing all claims other than those that fall into the Seafood Compensation Program is 6/8/15.