

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**

**In Re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig
“Deepwater Horizon” in the Gulf
of Mexico, on April 20, 2010**

**MDL NO. 2179
SECTION J**

Applies to: *All Cases*

**JUDGE BARBIER
MAGISTRATE JUDGE SHUSHAN**

**REPORT BY THE CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE DEEPWATER
HORIZON ECONOMIC AND PROPERTY DAMAGES SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT ON THE STATUS OF CLAIMS REVIEW**

STATUS REPORT NO.

33

DATE

MAY 29, 2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA

In re: Oil Spill by the Oil Rig
“Deepwater Horizon” in the Gulf
of Mexico, on April 20, 2012

MDL NO. 2179

SECTION J

Applies to: *All Cases*

JUDGE BARBIER
MAGISTRATE JUDGE SHUSHAN

**REPORT BY THE CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR OF THE DEEPWATER HORIZON
ECONOMIC AND PROPERTY DAMAGES SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT ON THE
STATUS OF CLAIMS REVIEW**

STATUS REPORT NO. 33, DATED MAY 29, 2015

The Claims Administrator of the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Settlement Agreement (Settlement Agreement) submits this Report to inform the Court of the status of the implementation of the Settlement Agreement as of April 30, 2015. The Claims Administrator will provide any other information in addition to this Report as requested by the Court.

I. STATUS OF THE CLAIMS REVIEW PROCESSES AND CLAIM PAYMENTS

A. Claim Submissions.

1. Registration and Claim Forms.

The Claims Administrator opened the Settlement Program with needed functions staffed and operating on June 4, 2012, just over 30 days after the Claims Administrator’s appointment. The Claims Administrator’s Office and Vendors (CAO)¹ have received 245,219 Registration Forms and 305,398 Claim Forms since the Program opened on June 4, 2012, as shown in the Public Statistics for the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Damages Settlement (Public Report) attached as Exhibit A. Additionally, claimants have begun, but not fully completed and submitted,

¹ “Claims Administrator’s Office”, as used within this report, refers to the Claims Administrator and, where applicable, Court-Supervised Settlement Program vendors working with and under the Claims Administrator.

19,202 Claim Forms. The Forms are available online, in hard copy, or at Claimant Assistance Centers located throughout the Gulf.

Of the total Claim Forms submitted and the Claim Forms begun but not fully completed and submitted, 7.8% have been filed or are being filed within the Seafood Program, 18.7% have been filed or are being filed within the Individual Economic Loss (IEL) framework, and 38.2% have been filed or are being filed within the Business Economic Loss (BEL) framework (including Start-Up and Failed BEL Claims). *See* Ex. A, Table 2. Deepwater Horizon (DWH) staff at the Claimant Assistance Centers assisted in beginning and/or completing 38,616 of these Claim Forms. *See* Ex. A, Table 3.

On December 8, 2014, the United States Supreme Court declined the request for a review of the Fifth Circuit’s rulings upholding the District Court’s Final Approval Order of the Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, the Effective Date of the Settlement Agreement is December 8, 2014, and the final deadline for filing all claims other than those that fall into the Seafood Compensation Program is June 8, 2015.

2. Minors, Incompetents, and Deceased Claimants.

The table below describes the claims filed on behalf of minors, incompetents, and deceased claimants in the Settlement Program.

Table 1. Minors, Incompetents, and Deceased Claimants.					
	Status	New Since Last Report	No Longer a Minor/Incompetent or Reclassified as an Estate Since Last Report	Change Since Last Report	Total Claimants
A. Minor Claimants					
1.	Claims Filed	0	0	0	55
2.	Claims Within GADL Review	0	0	0	5
3.	Eligible for Payment	0	0	0	20
4.	Approval Orders Filed	1	N/A	1	19
B. Incompetent Claimants					

1.	Claims Filed	0	0	0	131
2.	Claims Within GADL Review	0	0	0	2
3.	Eligible for Payment	0	0	0	74
4.	Approval Orders Filed	3	N/A	3	69
C. Deceased Claimants					
1.	Claims Filed	8	2	6	636
2.	Eligible for Payment	10	1	9	297
3.	Approval Orders Filed	20	0	20	240

3. Third Party Claims.

The CAO receives, processes, and pays the claims and/or liens asserted by attorneys, creditors, governmental agencies, or other third parties (Third Party Claims) against the payments to be made by the CAO to eligible claimants under the Settlement Agreement in accordance with Court Approved Procedure Order No. 1 (as entered September 9, 2012, and amended March 11, 2013).

The CAO requires a third party claimant to submit enforcement documentation soon after the initial Third Party Claim assertion. If a Third Party Claim assertion does not contain claimant-identifying information and/or the required enforcement documentation, the CAO sends the third party claimant an Acknowledgment Notice providing the third party claimant 20 days to submit the claimant-identifying information and/or the specified documentation required to support the Third Party Claim. If the third party claimant fails to submit the responsive information/documentation within 20 days, the CAO disallows the Third Party Claim and issues a Disallowed Notice to the third party claimant. The CAO issues a Notice of Enforced Third Party Claim to each claimant and third party claimant as soon as the CAO receives sufficient enforcement documentation, regardless of where any underlying Settlement Program Claim is in the review process. The claimant may, but is not required to, object to the Third Party Claim at this time. After the CAO sends an Eligibility Notice to the affected Settlement Program Claimant against whom an Enforced Third Party Claim has been asserted (meaning that both the underlying

claim and the Third Party Claim are payable), the CAO sends the claimant/claimant’s attorney and the third party claimant a Notice of Valid Third Party Claim, and the claimant has 20 days to notify the CAO of any objection to the Third Party Claim.

The CAO continues to process and pay Third Party Claims as reflected in Table 2 below.

Table 2. Third Party Claims.							
	Type of Third Party Claim (“TPC”)	TPCs Asserted	TPCs Asserted Against Claimants With a DHECC ID	TPCs Asserted Against Payable Claims	Valid TPCs Asserted Against Payable Claims	Claims with TPCs Paid/ Ready for Payment (TPClmt)	Claims with TPCs Paid/ Ready for Payment (Clmt)
1.	Attorney’s Fees	2,695	2,503	554	329	405	745
2.	IRS or State Tax Levies	1,101	910	90	70	63	101
3.	Individual Domestic Support Obligations	449	306	117	84	100	130
4.	Blanket State-Asserted Multiple Domestic Support Obligations	4 states	N/A	N/A	N/A	0	0
5.	3rd Party Lien/Writ of Garnishment	1,015	462	46	21	14	14
6.	Claims Preparation/ Accounting	4,743	4,557	285	245	182	201
7.	TOTAL	10,003	8,738	1,092	749	764	1,191²

The CAO sends a Notice of Third Party Claim Dispute to all parties involved in a disputed Valid Third Party Claim. If the claimant and third party claimant are unable to resolve their dispute by agreement and if the dispute is over a Third Party Claim for attorney’s fees or fees associated with work performed in connection with a Settlement Program Claim, the claimant and third party claimant may participate in the Court-approved Third Party Claims Dispute Resolution Process and will receive a Request for Third Party Claim Dispute Resolution Form with the Notice of Third

² A Third Party Claim can be asserted against one or more Settlement Program claims. Additionally, if the Third Party Claim amount is in dispute, the CAO pays the claimant the undisputed portion of the Settlement Payment. For these reasons, this total may not be equal to the total of the two preceding columns.

Party Claim Dispute. Table 3 provides additional information about participation in the Third Party Claims Dispute Resolution Process.

Table 3. Third Party Claims Dispute Resolution Process.				
Eligible Disputes	Request Forms Received for Eligible Disputes	Records Provided to Adjudicator	Disputes Withdrawn	Final Decisions³
133	95	65	60	31

If the dispute is over a Third Party Claim asserted by a state or federal agency, the claimant must resolve the dispute in accordance with the applicable agency’s procedures. If the dispute is over the amount of a Third Party Claim based on a final judgment of a state or federal court, the CAO must receive either a written agreement between the parties or a copy of a subsequent modifying court order in order to validate the claimant’s objection;⁴ otherwise, the CAO will issue payment in satisfaction of the judgment to the third party claimant.

To date, the CAO has removed 2,180 lien holds due to parties releasing their Third Party Claims or resolving disputes.

B. Claims Review.

The CAO completed its first claim reviews and issued its first outcome notices on July 15, 2012, and its first payments on July 31, 2012. There are many steps involved in reviewing a claim so that it is ready for a notice.

1. Identity Verification.

The Claimant Identity Verification review is the first step in the DWH claims review process. The Identity Verification team conducts searches based on the Taxpayer Identification

³ Several factors affect when a Dispute is ripe for the Adjudicator to issue a Final Decision, including whether the Adjudicator has requested additional documentation or granted a Telephonic Hearing.

⁴ For a claimant to object to a Third Party Claim based on a final judgment of a state or federal court, the CAO requires additional evidence beyond a mere objection to delay or deny payment of the court-ordered debt.

Numbers (TIN) of claimants to confirm that both the claimant's name and TIN exist and correspond with each other. The Identity Verification team has initiated verifications for 211,128 claimants. Of those, the CAO has matched the TIN and claimant's name to public records databases and verified identity for 115,685 claimants from the initial query through LexisNexis and/or Dun & Bradstreet. The CAO has reviewed the remaining 95,443 claimants to determine whether claimant identity could be verified after searching for typographical errors and name changes or after reviewing official documentation from the Internal Revenue Service or Social Security Administration. Of the remaining 95,443 claimants, the CAO has verified the identity of 90,320.

If the CAO cannot verify a claimant's identity after review, but it appears that additional documentation may allow the CAO to verify the claimant's identity, the CAO issues a Verification Notice to the claimant requesting such documentation. Verification Notice types include an SSN Notice, an ITIN Notice, and an EIN Notice. Claimants may receive more than one type of Verification Notice depending on the claimant's Taxpayer Type or if the claimant requests a change in his Taxpayer Type or TIN.

The CAO reviews the documentation that claimants submit in response to the Verification Notice to determine whether it is sufficient to verify identity. The table below contains information on the number of claimants verified by the CAO during an initial Identity Verification review, in addition to the type and number of TIN Verification Notices issued when the CAO could not verify identity after the initial review.

Table 4. Identity Verification Review Activity.				
	Claimant Status	Total Claimants	Total Claimants Verified After Review/Notice	Claimants Remaining to be Verified
1.	Under Review	1,169	N/A	1,169
2.	Verified During Review	71,313	71,313	N/A
3.	SSN Notice Issued After Review	3,374	2,370	1,004
4.	ITIN Notice Issued After Review	407	353	54
5.	EIN Notice Issued After Review	18,859	16,062	2,797
6.	EIN & ITIN Notice Issued After Review	44	31	13
7.	EIN & SSN Notice Issued After Review	272	187	85
8.	EIN, ITIN & SSN Notice Issued After Review	5	4	1
9.	Total	95,443	90,320	5,123

The following table contains information about the average time in days for claimants to provide documentation sufficient to verify the claimant’s identity after receiving a Verification Notice.

Table 5. Average Time to Cure Verification Notice.		
	Notices Type	Average Days to Cure
1.	SSN Notice	55
2.	ITIN Notice	31
3.	EIN Notice	39

When a claimant submits a Subsistence claim stating that he or she fished or hunted to sustain his or her basic personal and/or family’s dietary needs, the CAO verifies the identities of the claimed family members. To do so, the CAO attempts to match each claimed family member’s name and TIN to ensure that the family member exists and that the family member was not deceased prior to or at the time of the Spill or is not an overlapping dependent already identified. The CAO first attempts to match each family member’s name and TIN to public records databases through LexisNexis. To date, the CAO has sent 89,898 family members’ names and TINs, associated with 28,721 claims, to LexisNexis for verification. If a claimed family member’s identity cannot be verified through LexisNexis, the CAO reviews the claim file to determine

whether the claimed family member’s identity can be verified using information contained within the file. After each claimed family member’s identity has been verified or reviewed, the Subsistence team reviews the claim to determine eligibility for payment.

Table 6. Subsistence Family Member Identity Verification Activity.					
		Awaiting Review	Change from Last Report	Reviewed	Change from Last Report
1.	Number of Claims	0	0	16,821	322
2.	Number of Family Members	0	0	71,575	1,425

2. Employer Verification Review (EVR).

The EVR process ensures that all employees of the same business are treated uniformly and that each business is placed in the proper Zone. The review also performs analysis necessary to assign the proper NAICS code to a business. The EVR team has completed the EVR analysis for 269,547 businesses and rental properties.

From April 1, 2015, through April 30, 2015, the team completed the EVR process for 3,792 businesses and rental properties, and 3,784 business and rental properties were identified for review. The CAO continues to perform the EVR for new businesses and rental properties on a first-in, first-out basis.

3. Exclusions.

The Exclusions review process ensures that claims and claimants excluded under the Settlement Agreement are appropriately denied. The Exclusions team guides the reviewers and the EVR team when questions arise during the Exclusion review. Table 7 below shows the number of Denial Notices issued to date for each Exclusion Reason and the team responsible for making such a determination.

Table 7. Exclusions.				
	Exclusion Reason	Team Responsible	Denial Notices Since Last Report	Total Denial Notices
1.	GCCF Release	Exclusions	128	8,006
2.	BP/MDL 2179 Defendant		19	437
3.	US District Court for Eastern District of LA		0	23
4.	Not a Member of the Economic Class	Claims Reviewers	19	454
5.	Bodily Injury		0	6
6.	BP Shareholder		0	8
7.	Transocean/Halliburton Claim		0	0
8.	Governmental Entity	EVR	5	892
9.	Oil and Gas Industry		12	1,444
10.	BP-Branded Fuel Entity		1	264
11.	Menhaden Claim		3	23
12.	Financial Institution		3	361
13.	Gaming Industry		2	740
14.	Insurance Industry		5	254
15.	Defense Contractor		0	396
16.	Real Estate Developer		16	476
17.	Trust, Fund, Financial Vehicle		1	19
18.	Total Denial Notices from Exclusions		214	13,803

4. Claimant Accounting Support Reviews.

A special team handles Claimant Accounting Support (CAS) reviews. CAS reimbursement is available under the Settlement Agreement for IEL, BEL, and Seafood claims. After a claim has been determined to be payable and the Compensation Amount has been calculated, the CAS team reviews accounting invoices and CAS Sworn Written Statements submitted by the claimant. Table 8 includes information on the number of CAS reviews the CAO has completed to date, whether the Accounting Support documentation was complete, and the dollar amounts reimbursed for each Claim Type.

Table 8. Claimant Accounting Support.									
	Claim Type	CAS Review Results				Total CAS Review Results		CAS Dollar Amount Reimbursed	
		Complete		Incomplete		Since Last Report	Total to Date	Since Last Report	Total to Date
		Since Last Report	Total to Date	Since Last Report	Total to Date				
1.	BEL	553	16,391	92	2,136	645	18,527	\$751,222.74	\$22,535,339.54
2.	IEL	11	3,259	4	720	15	3,979	\$894.00	\$394,303.08
3.	Seafood	-5 ⁵	3,940	7	820	2	4,760	(\$10,345.67) ⁵	\$1,601,563.74
4.	TOTAL	559	23,590	103	3,676	662	27,266	\$741,771.07	\$24,531,206.36

5. Quality Assurance Review.

The Quality Assurance (QA) process addresses three fundamental needs of the Settlement Program: (a) it ensures that all claims reviewed within the system environment are reviewed in accordance with the provisions of the Settlement Agreement by targeting anomalous claim results through data metrics analysis; (b) it provides a mechanism to monitor reviewer performance and the tools necessary to efficiently and effectively provide feedback to reviewers; and (c) it identifies areas of review resulting in high discrepancy rates that require retraining or refined review procedures and data validations.

The CAO has implemented a reviewer follow-up process for all claim types reviewed within the system environment. The CAO provides daily follow-up to reviewers in the event a QA review of a particular claim produces a result different than that of the original review. The CAO also identifies specific reviewers who may require retraining and whether there are issues that warrant refresher training for all reviewers. Table 9 shows, by Claim Type, the number of claims identified for QA review, as well as the number of QA reviews which were completed, the number in progress, and the number awaiting review.

⁵ The -5 Complete Reviews result from five Seafood claims that the Claims Administrator previously found eligible for CAS Reimbursement but then found Incomplete under current review policies when re-reviewed in April.

Table 9. Quality Assurance Reviews.⁶

	Claim Type	Total Reviews Needing QA To Date	QA Reviews Completed	% of QA Reviews Completed	QA Reviews in Progress	Claims Awaiting QA Review	QA Reviews Completed Since Last Report
1.	Seafood	25,520	25,421	99.6%	64	35	13
2.	IEL	36,829	35,381	96.1%	479	969	194
3.	BEL	39,922	39,107	98.0%	278	537	1,312
4.	Start-Up BEL	3,380	3,306	97.8%	26	48	174
5.	Failed BEL	2,906	2,853	98.2%	10	43	113
6.	Coastal RP	22,813	22,751	99.7%	16	46	231
7.	Wetlands RP	9,751	9,132	93.7%	34	585	323
8.	RPS	974	974	100.0%	0	0	7
9.	Subsistence	68,162	58,922	86.4%	1,009	8,231	4,526
10.	VoO	7,966	7,960	99.9%	2	4	14
11.	VPD	1,546	1,531	99.0%	4	11	4
12.	TOTAL	219,769	207,338	94.3%	1,922	10,509	6,911

6. Claim Type Review Details.

Table 10 provides information, by Claim Type, on the number of claims filed, the number of claims that have been reviewed to Notice, the number of claims remaining to be reviewed to Notice, and the number of claims reviewed to either a Notice or “Later Notice” to date. Table 10 divides the claims reviewed to a “Later Notice” into separate sections: (1) claims receiving a Notice based on CAO review following the submission of additional materials by a claimant in response to an Incompleteness Notice, and (2) claims receiving a Notice following a Reconsideration review conducted by the CAO.

⁶ Table 9 only includes system generated data that arise from Quality Assurance reviews of initial claim reviews that are performed within the confines of the system environment. Separate from the initial claim review, there are numerous ancillary steps within the overall claim review process in which Quality Assurance activities and measures are performed outside of the system environment.

Table 10. Throughput Analysis of Claims Filed and Notices Issued.

A. Claims Reviewed to First Notice										
	Claim Type	Status of All Claims Filed					Productivity From 4/1/15 Through 4/30/15			
		Total Claims Filed To Date	Reviews Completed to Notice or Closed		Claims Remaining to Review		New Claims Filed	Avg. Daily Claims Filed	Reviews Completed to First Notice	Avg. Daily Reviews to First Notice
1.	Seafood	24,790	24,531	99.0%	259	1.0%	10	<1	19	<1
2.	IEL	46,702	41,610	89.1%	5,092	10.9%	995	33	217	7
3.	IPV/FV	327	300	91.7%	27	8.3%	20	<1	9	<1
4.	BEL	110,689	79,594	71.9%	31,095	28.1%	1,662	55	2,394	80
5.	Start-Up BEL	6,183	5,204	84.2%	979	15.8%	101	3	148	5
6.	Failed BEL	4,252	3,673	86.4%	579	13.6%	88	3	104	3
7.	Coastal RP	38,691	38,014	98.3%	677	1.7%	507	17	229	8
8.	Wetlands RP	19,651	12,377	63.0%	7,274	37.0%	452	15	293	10
9.	RPS	1,796	1,763	98.2%	33	1.8%	39	1	23	<1
10.	Subsistence	42,046	28,649	68.1%	13,397	31.9%	1,672	56	1,524	51
11.	VoO	8,801	8,750	99.4%	51	0.6%	13	<1	5	<1
12.	VPD	1,470	1,421	96.7%	49	3.3%	8	<1	2	<1
13.	TOTAL	305,398	245,886	80.5%	59,512	19.5%	5,567	186	4,967	166
B. Claims Reviewed to Later Notice										
	Claim Type	Initial or Preliminary Incompleteness Response			Follow-Up Incompleteness Responses			Requests for Reconsideration		
		Total Responses	Claims with Later Notice	Remaining Claims	Total Responses	Claims with Later Notice	Remaining Claims	Total Requests	Claims with Later Notice	Remaining Claims
1.	Seafood	5,955	5,510	445	2,870	2,653	217	3,776	3,524	252
2.	IEL	17,724	15,913	1,811	9,277	8,162	1,115	6,200	5,582	618
3.	IPV/FV	103	100	3	38	37	1	41	39	2
4.	BEL	42,889	28,480	14,409	19,272	12,393	6,879	9,723	6,717	3,006
5.	Start-Up BEL	2,905	2,294	611	1,728	1,276	452	912	633	279
6.	Failed BEL	1,253	967	286	769	531	238	670	542	128
7.	Coastal RP	5,845	5,697	148	1,685	1,655	30	2,088	2,061	27
8.	Wetlands RP	629	465	164	144	100	44	818	591	227
9.	RPS	335	332	3	121	119	2	222	219	3
10.	Subsistence	9,963	5,832	4,131	3,508	2,054	1,454	1,556	798	758
11.	VoO	955	937	18	404	392	12	636	627	9
12.	VPD	787	752	35	364	347	17	265	250	15
13.	TOTAL	89,343	67,279	22,064	40,180	29,719	10,461	26,907	21,583	5,324

C. Claim Payments.

1. Notices and Payments.

Tables 4 and 5 of the Public Report attached in Exhibit A provide detail on the notices and payments issued to date. As of April 30, 2015, the CAO has issued 92,697 Eligibility Notices to unique claims with Payment Offers totaling \$5.63 billion. As of that date, the CAO has made \$5.09 billion in payments on 86,546 claims.

2. Claimants in Bankruptcy.

The CAO reviews each claimant who indicates an open bankruptcy on the Registration Form (Debtor Claimant) to determine whether the claimant has submitted sufficient documentation from the applicable bankruptcy court to issue payment. If the CAO determines that the claimant is not a Debtor Claimant per the Procedure for Disposition of Claims by Claimants in Bankruptcy (Proc-445), or if the claimant submits sufficient documentation for the CAO to issue payment on all active claims, the CAO will remove the Bankruptcy Hold.

Table 11 provides information about the status of claimants identified as Debtor Claimants, including information on notices issued to those claimants.

Table 11. Claimants in Bankruptcy.			
1.	Identified Claimants in Bankruptcy	Total	Change Since Last Report
(a)	Claimants with Active Bankruptcy Holds	2,001	+88
(b)	Claimants with Removed Bankruptcy Holds	1,127	+27
2.	Bankruptcy Notices Issued	Total	Change Since Last Report
(a)	Debtor Claimant in Bankruptcy Notices	435	+7
(b)	Bankruptcy Trustee Communication Notices	101	+2
(c)	Bankruptcy Trustee Informational Notices	77	+6

D. Re-Reviews, Reconsiderations, and Appeals.

1. Re-Reviews and Outcomes.

The CAO implemented a Re-Review process beginning on January 18, 2013, that provides claimants with the opportunity to request a Re-Review of their claim within 30 days of the issuance of an Eligibility or Denial Notice if the claimant has additional documentation not previously submitted to support its claim. Following a Re-Review, claimants receive a Post Re-Review Notice, from which they may then request Reconsideration if they wish. To date, there have been 103,085 Eligibility or Denial Notices issued from which claimants can or could seek Re-Review. Of those, 1,967 are still within the 30-day window to seek Re-Review and Re-Review has not yet been requested, leaving 101,118 claims for which the window to seek Re-Review has passed. Of those, claimants have requested Re-Review of 11,317 claims. Thus, the rate of Re-Review from all final determinations is 11.2%. The rate of Re-Review from Eligibility Notices is 7.5%, while the rate of Re-Review from Denial Notices is 20.4%.

Table 12 summarizes the Re-Reviews the CAO has completed, the number of Post Re-Review Notices the CAO has issued, and whether the outcome of the Re-Review resulted in an award that was higher than (), lower than (), or the same as () the outcome previously issued. The table also includes information on whether an original Exclusion Denial was confirmed or overturned on Re-Review.

Table 12. Re-Reviews.					
A. Re-Review Requests and Reviews					
	Claim Type	Requests Received To Date	Reviews Completed To Date		
			Total	Completed Since Last Report	Average Weekly Reviews
1.	Seafood	871	843	4	7
2.	IEL	902	862	3	7
3.	IPV/FV	12	12	1	<1
4.	BEL	3,642	3,285	182	28

5.	Start-Up BEL		282	211	31	2		
6.	Failed BEL		232	213	9	2		
7.	Coastal RP		1,053	1,046	19	9		
8.	Wetlands RP		1,556	643	264	6		
9.	RPS		95	92	0	<1		
10.	Subsistence		2,559	459	103	4		
11.	VoO		61	61	0	<1		
12.	VPD		52	50	0	<1		
13.	TOTAL		11,317	7,777	616	67		
B. Re-Review Notices Issued								
		Notices Issued or Claims Closed		Outcome of Re-Review Notice				
	Claim Type	Total to Date	Weekly Average	Compensation Amount for Eligible Claims			Exclusions/Denials	
							Confirmed	Overturned
1.	Seafood	790	7	415	39 ⁷	236	95	5
2.	IEL	808	7	193	84	254	270	7
3.	IPV/FV	12	<1	0	0	0	12	0
4.	BEL	2,882	25	737	115	176	1,838	16
5.	Start-Up BEL	184	2	23	6	4	150	1
6.	Failed BEL	194	2	2	5	1	186	0
7.	Coastal RP	1,043	9	47	4	125	830	37
8.	Wetlands RP	622	5	29	9	31	546	7
9.	RPS	92	<1	1	0	3	75	13
10.	Subsistence	349	3	212	43	57	37	0
11.	VoO	60	<1	7	5	17	29	2
12.	VPD	46	<1	20	0	13	12	1
13.	TOTAL	7,082	61	1,686	310	917	4,080	89

2. Reconsideration Reviews and Outcomes.

To date, there have been 191,439 Eligibility, Denial, or Incompleteness Denial Notices issued from which claimants can or could seek Reconsideration. Of those, 4,189 are still within the 30 day window to seek Reconsideration and Reconsideration has not yet been requested, leaving 187,250 claims for which the window to seek Reconsideration has passed. Of those, claimants have requested Reconsideration of 26,907 claims. Thus, the rate of Reconsideration

⁷ One SCP claimant withdrew its re-review request, decreasing the number of SCP claims with reduced awards after re-review by one.

from all final determinations is 14.4%. The rate of Reconsideration from Eligibility Notices is 5.0%, while the rate of Reconsideration from Denial and Incompleteness Denial Notices is 24.1%.

Table 13 summarizes the Reconsiderations the CAO has completed, the number of Post-Reconsideration Notices the CAO has issued, and whether the outcome of the Reconsideration review resulted in an award that was higher than (), lower than (), or the same as () the outcome previously issued. The table also includes information on whether an original Exclusion Denial was confirmed or overturned on Reconsideration.

Table 13. Reconsideration.								
A. Reconsideration Requests and Reviews								
	Claim Type	Requests Received To Date	Reviews Completed To Date					
			Total	Completed Since Last Report	Average Weekly Reviews			
1.	Seafood	3,776	3,619	14	28			
2.	IEL	6,200	5,885	40	45			
3.	IPV/FV	41	39	2	<1			
4.	BEL	9,723	8,435	246	64			
5.	Start-Up BEL	912	825	42	6			
6.	Failed BEL	670	611	14	5			
7.	Coastal RP	2,088	2,064	12	16			
8.	Wetlands RP	818	603	11	5			
9.	RPS	222	220	1	2			
10.	Subsistence	1,556	970	148	7			
11.	VoO	636	631	0	5			
12.	VPD	265	258	0	2			
13.	TOTAL	26,907	24,160	530	184			
B. Reconsideration Notices Issued								
	Claim Type	Notices Issued or Claims Closed		Outcome of Reconsideration Notice				
		Total to Date	Weekly Average	Compensation Amount for Eligible Claims			Exclusions/Denials	
							Confirmed	Overturned
1.	Seafood	3,524	25	780	173	489	1,744	338
2.	IEL	5,582	40	160	113	421	3,562	1,326
3.	IPV/FV	39	<1	0	0	0	38	1
4.	BEL	6,717	48	417	170	299	3,430	2,401
5.	Start-Up BEL	633	4	10	12	13	330	268

Table 13. Reconsideration.								
6.	Failed BEL	542	4	1	6	5	443	87
7.	Coastal RP	2,061	15	97	22	412	1,257	273
8.	Wetlands RP	591	4	37	3	56	469	26
9.	RPS	219	2	1	0	4	193	21
10.	Subsistence	798	6	55	11	24	504	204
11.	VoO	627	4	58	6	122	383	58
12.	VPD	250	2	48	5	17	113	67
13.	TOTAL	21,583	153	1,664	521	1,862	12,466	5,070

3. Appeals.

(a) BP Appeals.

To date, the CAO has issued 23,245 Eligibility Notices that meet or exceed the threshold amount rendering them eligible for appeal by BP. Of those, 209 Notices are still within the timeframe in which BP can file an appeal and BP has not yet done so, leaving 23,036 Notices that BP has either appealed or for which the deadline for BP to file an appeal has passed. Of those 23,036 Notices, BP has filed 6,146 appeals, a 26.7% appeal rate. Table 14 provides summary information on the status of BP appeals.

Table 14. Status of BP Appeals.				
A. Appeal Filing/Resolution				
	Status	As of Last Report	Since Last Report	Total
1.	BP Appeals Filed	6,057	89	6,146
2.	Resolved Appeals	5,601⁸	153	5,754
(a).	Resolved by Panel Decision	2,189	112	2,301
(b).	Resolved by Parties	838	25	863
(c).	Remand to Claims Administrator	133 ⁸	8	141
(d).	Administratively Closed	423	0	423
(e).	Withdrawn	358	6	364
(f).	Inactive Under Reconsideration/Re-Review	215	2	217
(g).	Return for Review Under Policy 495	1,445	0	1,445
B. Pending Appeals				

⁸ In Court Status Report No. 32, the appeal status for two BP appeals was listed “Under Discretionary Court Review” when the Request for Discretionary Review had been denied and the appeals returned to an appeal status of “Remand to Claims Administrator”. The CAO has now characterized those appeals as “Remand to Claims Administrator” and accordingly, the “As of Last Report” figure for “Remand to Claims Administrator” has increased by two.

Table 14. Status of BP Appeals.		
1.	In “Baseball” Process	150
2.	Submitted to Panel	86
3.	Under Discretionary Court Review	156
4.	TOTAL PENDING	392

(b) Claimant Appeals.

Before a claimant may file an appeal, the claimant must request Reconsideration and receive a Post-Reconsideration Eligibility or Denial Notice. To date, the CAO has issued 9,497 Post-Reconsideration Eligibility and Denial Notices. Of those, 114 Notices are still within the timeframe in which the claimant can file an appeal and the claimant has not yet done so, leaving 9,383 Notices that the claimant has either appealed or for which the deadline for the claimant to file an appeal has passed. Of those 9,383 Notices, claimants have filed 1,913 appeals, a 20.4% appeal rate. Of the 1,913 claimant appeals, 1,307 are appeals of Post-Reconsideration Denial Notices, while 606 are appeals of Post-Reconsideration Eligibility Notices. Table 15 provides summary information on the status of Claimant Appeals.

Table 15. Status of Claimant Appeals.				
A. Appeal Filing/Resolution				
	Status	As of Last Report	Since Last Report	Total
1.	Claimant Appeals Filed	1,873	40	1,913
2.	Resolved Appeals	1,597⁹	71	1,668
(a).	Resolved by Panel Decision	1,249	59	1,308
(b).	Resolved by Parties	89	1	90
(c).	Remand to Claims Administrator	66	3	69
(d).	Administratively Closed	61	3	64
(e).	Withdrawn	42 ⁹	5	47
(f).	Return for Review Under Policy 495	90	0	90
B. Pending Appeals				
1.	In “Baseball” Process		29	
2.	In “Non-Baseball” Process		95	

⁹ In Court Status Report No. 32, the appeal status for one Claimant appeal was listed as “In ‘Baseball’ Process” when the claimant had withdrawn the appeal. The CAO has now characterized that appeal as “Withdrawn” and accordingly, the “As of Last Report” figure for “Withdrawn” has increased by one.

Table 15. Status of Claimant Appeals.		
3.	Submitted to Panel	24
4.	Under Discretionary Court Review	97
5.	TOTAL PENDING	245

(c) Resolved Appeals.

As reported in the table below, 7,422 appeals have been resolved. Table 16 provides a summary of these resolved appeals by Claim Type. The comparison between the Post-Appeal Award Amount and the Award Amount within the original notice does not take into consideration the 5.0% increase in compensation that a claimant who prevails upon appeal receives.

Table 16. Outcome After Appeal.												
Claim Type		Appeals Settled or Decided by Panel						With- drawn	Admin. Closed	Inactive Under Recon./Re- Review	Return for Review Under Policy 495	Total
		Compensation Amount Following Appeal Compared to That of Original Notice										
		Higher	Lower	Same	Denial Upheld	Denial Over- turned	Remand					
1.	Seafood	75	21	158	49	4	21	52	9	9	0	398
2.	IEL	27	68	116	108	14	49	14	20	8	0	424
3.	IPV/FV	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	1	0	0	3
4.	BEL	63	963	1,828	367	83	120	295	437	184	1,535	5,875
5.	Coastal RP	37	1	25	154	7	2	8	8	0	0	242
6.	Wetlands RP	3	10	6	49	2	0	3	3	16	0	92
7.	RPS	0	6	20	38	0	0	4	2	0	0	70
8.	Subsistence	1	0	4	1	0	1	0	3	0	0	10
9.	VoO	16	31	47	57	20	5	27	4	0	0	207
10.	VPD	2	28	31	20	0	12	8	0	0	0	101
11.	TOTAL	224	1,128	2,235	844	131	210	411	487	217	1,535	7,422

(d) Incompleteness Appeals.

The Appeal for Insufficient Documentation (Incompleteness Appeal) allows Economic Class Members to have their claims reviewed by a separate Documentation Reviewer when the CAO denies their claims because of insufficient documentation. The Documentation Reviewer

reviews the claimant’s documentation to determine whether the Program correctly denied the claim.

Before sending the claim to the Documentation Reviewer, the CAO reviews the appeal request along with any newly submitted documents. If the claimant has submitted the requested documentation and cured the incompleteness, the CAO issues the appropriate Notice. If the claimant still has not submitted the requested documentation, the CAO sends the claim to the Documentation Reviewer for review.

Before a claimant may file an appeal of an Incompleteness Denial, the claimant must request Reconsideration and receive a Post-Reconsideration Incompleteness Denial Notice. To date, the CAO has issued 6,656 Post-Reconsideration Incompleteness Denial Notices. Of those, 225 Notices are still within the timeframe in which the claimant can file an appeal, leaving 6,431 Notices for which the claimant’s appeal deadline has passed. Of the 6,431 Notices eligible for appeal, 3,108 (48.3%) appeal requests have been filed. Table 17 provides summary information on the status of Incompleteness Appeals.

Table 17. Incompleteness Appeals.				
A. Incompleteness Appeal Filing/Resolution				
	Status	As of Last Report	Since Last Report	Total
1.	Incompleteness Appeals Filed	2,991	117	3,108
2.	Appeals Resolved	1,980	57	2,037
(a).	Withdrawn/Closed Claims	18	3	21
(b).	Cured	424	25	449
(c).	Incompleteness Denial Affirmed	1,475	26	1,501
(d).	Incompleteness Denial Overturned	63	3	66
B. Pending Incompleteness Appeals				
3.	In Pre-Documentation Reviewer Process	806		
4.	Currently Before Documentation Reviewer	265		
5.	TOTAL PENDING	1,071		

As reported in Table 17 above, 2,037 Incompleteness Appeals have been resolved.

E. Seafood Supplemental Distribution

The Settlement Agreement calls for BP to finance a \$2.3 billion Seafood Compensation Program Settlement Fund. The Settlement Agreement states that any balance available after the first distribution will be distributed to each claimant in proportion to the claimant's gross compensation, unless the Court-Appointed Seafood Neutrals recommend a different formula. On September 19, 2014, the Seafood Neutrals submitted to the Court their Recommendations for the Seafood Compensation Program Supplemental Distribution (which can be located on the Program's Website). On November 18, 2014, the Court approved the Seafood Supplemental Distribution formula established under the Court-Designated Neutrals' Recommendations for Seafood Compensation Program Supplemental Distribution. The Court approved a partial Supplemental Distribution targeted at \$500 million of the remaining undistributed portion of the aggregate \$2.3 billion fund for the Seafood Compensation Program. Payments will be disbursed in multiple phases.

The Seafood Neutrals also recommended that if a claimant or BP disagrees with a claimant's award in Round Two, the challenge must be limited to whether the formulas described in Sections II (A) and III (F) of the Recommendations were properly implemented with respect to the individual claim at issue. The Seafood Neutrals also recommended that the Claims Administrator have the discretion and authority to promulgate procedural and evidentiary rules as well as limit and define appellate rights. On November 18, 2014, the Court approved the Seafood Neutrals' Recommendations in full, and on December 29, 2014, the Claims Administrator promulgated Rules Governing the Seafood Supplemental Distribution Calculation Objection Process pursuant to the Court-approved Seafood Neutrals' recommendations.

As of April 30, 2015, the Settlement Program has issued 3,629 Seafood Supplemental Distribution Eligibility Notices for a total Supplemental Distribution Value of \$351,090,561. The

Seafood Supplemental Distribution Notices are included in the report where appropriate. As of April 30, 2015, the Settlement Program issued payments of \$334,881,959 from the Supplemental Distribution to 3,066 claimants.

II. CLAIMANT OUTREACH EFFORTS

The CAO has continued its claimant outreach efforts since the previous Court Status Report as detailed below.

A. Law Firm Contacts.

The Law Firm Contact Team continued multiple outreach campaigns to help firms overcome documentation deficiencies and answer questions posed by reviewers. The Law Firm Contact Team continued daily outreach assignments requesting new Forms 4506 and/or 4506-T or notifying firms of deficient Forms 4506 and/or 4506-T. Firm Contacts continued outreach campaigns to address claims awaiting payment with incomplete Identity Verification documents and incomplete payment documentation. The Law Firm Contact team also completed a large outreach campaign to notify firms by email of claimants who completed Registration Forms but who did not file a corresponding Claim Form. The Law Firm Contact Team continues to assist firms by providing information concerning claim statuses and claim determinations.

B. Claimant Communications Center (CCC).

The CCC continued claimant outreach efforts across various claim types and review teams. The CCC continued specific outreach to pro se claimants who submitted insufficient Forms 4506 and/or 4506-T, Subsistence claimants who provided incomplete authorization forms, and Individual Economic Loss claimants regarding incomplete pay-period earnings records. The CCC saw an increase in outreach calls to notify claimants of approved Deadline Relief Requests for claims in various stages of the review process. The CCC agents continue to field calls from claimants with questions about their claim status and notices.

C. Claimant Assistance Centers (CACs).

The CACs complete outreach assignments as a secondary task to meeting with claimants and answering DWH-related questions. The CACs continued to participate in ongoing outreach efforts, including those to claimants with updated representation statuses, unfinished claim forms, and insufficient Forms 4506 and/or 4506-T in accordance with Policy 70 v.2. In addition, the CACs continued outreach calls to claimants who provided incomplete identity information and to claimants with incomplete claims. To date, the CACs have helped to complete over 183,000 calls for the Claimant Outreach Program.

D. Summary of Outreach Calls.

Table 18 summarizes some of the Claimant Outreach Program efforts as of April 30, 2015.

Table 18. Outreach Call Volume.							
	Location	Calls Made	Incomplete Claims Affected	Claims With New Docs After Call	% of Claims With New Docs After Call	Claimants Visiting CAC After Call	% of Claimants Visiting CAC After Call
1.	BrownGreer	183,529	40,326	31,706	78.6%	13,765	34.1%
2.	Garden City Group	73,975	8,896	6,757	76.0%	715	8.0%
3.	P&N	73,111	17,893	16,306	91.1%	309	1.7%
4.	PwC	815	373	363	97.3%	12	3.2%
5.	TOTAL	331,430	67,488	55,132	81.7%	14,801	21.9%

III. CLAIM FILING DEADLINE REMINDER NOTICE

Section 8.1.3 of the Settlement Agreement requires the Class Notice Administrator to disseminate a Court-approved reminder notice of the claims filing deadline to potential Class Members. The Class Notice Administrator began issuing notices by mail and email in March 2015 and continued through April 2015. Internet banner and trade publication notice placements began on April 1, 2015. Newspaper, television and radio programming notifications began on April 6, 2015.

IV. CONCLUSION

The Claims Administrator offers this Report to ensure that the Court is informed of the status of the Program to date. If the Court would find additional information helpful, the Claims Administrator stands ready to provide it at the Court's convenience.

 /s/ Patrick Juneau
PATRICK A. JUNEAU
CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that the above and foregoing pleading has been served on All Counsel by electronically uploading the same to LexisNexis File & Serve in accordance with Pretrial Order No. 12, and that the foregoing was electronically filed with the Clerk of Court of the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana by using the CM/ECF System, which will send a notice of electronic filing in accordance with the procedures established in MDL 2179, on this 29th day of May, 2015.

 /s/ Patrick Juneau
PATRICK A. JUNEAU
CLAIMS ADMINISTRATOR

May 1, 2015

Claims Administrator Patrick Juneau has announced that the Settlement Program began issuing payments on July 31, 2012, and has been issuing outcome Notices since July 15, 2012. The Program will issue Notices on a rolling basis as we complete reviews, and they will include Eligibility Notices, Incompleteness Notices, and Denial Notices. Each Notice will provide information explaining the outcome. We will post Notices on the secure DWH Portal for any law firm or unrepresented claimant who uses the DWH Portal. We will notify firms and unrepresented claimants by email at the end of each day if we have posted a Notice that day. Firms and unrepresented claimants may then log onto the DWH Portal to see a copy of the Notice(s). Law Firms or claimants who do not use the DWH Portal will receive Notices in the mail. Claimants who receive an Eligibility Notice and qualify for a payment will receive that payment after all appeal periods have passed, if applicable, and the claimant has submitted all necessary paperwork, including a fully executed Release and Covenant Not to Sue.

Table 1	Filings by State of Residence								
	State	Registration Forms				Claims			
		Form Begun	Form Submitted	Total	%	Form Begun	Form Submitted	Total	%
1.	Alabama	794	45,354	46,148	18.4%	2,615	54,760	57,375	17.7%
2.	Florida	2,053	79,814	81,867	32.6%	5,663	89,187	94,850	29.2%
3.	Louisiana	1,579	61,056	62,635	24.9%	7,768	81,372	89,140	27.5%
4.	Mississippi	534	32,105	32,639	13.0%	1,123	36,639	37,762	11.6%
5.	Texas	257	12,134	12,391	4.9%	611	17,394	18,005	5.6%
6.	Other	1,049	14,756	15,805	6.3%	1,422	26,046	27,468	8.5%
7.	Total	6,266	245,219	251,485	100.0%	19,202	305,398	324,600	100.0%

Chart 1: Filings by State of Residence




Table 2	Number of Claims by Claim Type					
	Claim Type	Claims				Unique Claimants with Form Submitted
		Form Begun	Form Submitted	Total	%	
1.	Seafood Compensation Program	410	24,790	25,200	7.8%	10,509
2.	Individual Economic Loss	13,829	46,702	60,531	18.7%	45,321
3.	Individual Periodic Vendor or Festival Vendor Economic Loss	203	327	530	0.2%	323
4.	Business Economic Loss	2,373	110,689	113,062	34.8%	82,510
5.	Start-Up Business Economic Loss	297	6,183	6,480	2.0%	5,157
6.	Failed Business Economic Loss	280	4,252	4,532	1.4%	3,760
7.	Coastal Real Property	799	38,691	39,490	12.2%	26,939
8.	Wetlands Real Property	143	19,651	19,794	6.1%	3,893
9.	Real Property Sales	198	1,796	1,994	0.6%	1,434
10.	Subsistence	522	42,046	42,568	13.1%	41,834
11.	VoO Charter Payment	80	8,801	8,881	2.7%	6,215
12.	Vessel Physical Damage	68	1,470	1,538	0.5%	1,248
13.	Total	19,202	305,398	324,600	100.0%	210,528

Public Statistics for the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Damages Settlement
 May 1, 2015

Chart 2: Number of Claims by Claim Type




Table 3	Filings by Claimant Assistance Center								
	Claimant Assistance Center	Registration Forms				Claims			
		Form Begun	Form Submitted	Total	%	Form Begun	Form Submitted	Total	%
1.	Apalachicola, FL	28	1,506	1,534	4.9%	39	2,168	2,207	5.7%
2.	Bay St. Louis , MS	8	610	618	2.0%	27	755	782	2.0%
3.	Bayou La Batre, AL	15	1,029	1,044	3.3%	45	1,126	1,171	3.0%
4.	Biloxi , MS	35	1,632	1,667	5.3%	66	2,110	2,176	5.6%
5.	Bridge City, TX	2	420	422	1.3%	16	792	808	2.1%
6.	Clearwater, FL	62	2,642	2,704	8.6%	326	2,339	2,665	6.9%
7.	Cut Off, LA	12	515	527	1.7%	24	794	818	2.1%
8.	Fort Myers, FL	0	27	27	0.1%	3	24	27	0.1%
9.	Fort Walton Beach , FL	8	1,329	1,337	4.2%	45	1,823	1,868	4.8%
10.	Grand Isle, LA	3	145	148	0.5%	5	227	232	0.6%
11.	Gretna/Harvey, LA	33	2,197	2,230	7.1%	45	2,205	2,250	5.8%
12.	Gulf Shores, AL	18	2,152	2,170	6.9%	66	2,831	2,897	7.5%
13.	Houma, LA	22	811	833	2.6%	40	1,048	1,088	2.8%
14.	Lafitte, LA	6	361	367	1.2%	11	494	505	1.3%
15.	Lake Charles, LA	0	35	35	0.1%	1	42	43	0.1%
16.	Metairie, LA	2	176	178	0.6%	7	246	253	0.7%
17.	Mobile, AL	74	7,834	7,908	25.0%	190	8,576	8,766	22.7%
18.	Naples, FL	21	1,388	1,409	4.5%	38	1,286	1,324	3.4%
19.	New Orleans – CBD BG, LA	14	348	362	1.2%	16	362	378	1.0%
20.	New Orleans East, LA	41	2,098	2,139	6.8%	98	2,476	2,574	6.7%
21.	Panama City Beach, FL	20	2,419	2,439	7.7%	95	3,763	3,858	10.0%
22.	Pensacola, FL	27	1,487	1,514	4.8%	72	1,854	1,926	5.0%
23.	Total	451	31,161	31,612	100.0%	1,275	37,341	38,616	100.0%

Public Statistics for the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Damages Settlement
May 1, 2015

Chart 3: Number of Claims by Claimant Assistance Center




Table 4	Notices Issued												
	Claim Type	Eligible - Payable	Eligible - No Payment	Incomplete	Denial					Opt-Outs	Withdrawn	Closed	Total Claims Issued Notice
					Exclusion Denials	Prior GCCF Release	Causation Denials	Other Denials	Incomplete Denials				
1.	Seafood Compensation Program	12,550	1,513	509	55	2,427	0	603	4,743	1,165	2,533	1,966	28,064
2.	Individual Economic Loss	6,186	1,555	3,312	3,276	2,041	99	1,126	20,055	712	2,011	3,252	43,625
3.	Individual Periodic Vendor or Festival Vendor Economic Loss	8	0	15	4	24	0	67	140	3	91	30	382
4.	Business Economic Loss	19,419	569	29,008	1,429	703	4,485	1,390	14,492	810	4,715	2,625	79,645
5.	Start-Up Business Economic Loss	678	32	1,546	58	46	187	417	1,671	90	215	320	5,260
6.	Failed Business Economic Loss	37	23	694	61	108	357	843	976	112	132	377	3,720
7.	Coastal Real Property	27,612	57	156	10	870	0	4,999	1,539	369	494	2,073	38,179
8.	Wetlands Real Property	6,178	11	231	28	74	0	3,917	113	86	187	1,508	12,333
9.	Real Property Sales	814	6	8	10	68	32	566	84	14	82	122	1,806
10.	Subsistence	11,366	715	8,073	23	1,368	0	247	5,323	196	396	887	28,594
11.	VoO Charter Payment	7,033	19	19	16	0	0	596	723	93	67	122	8,688
12.	Vessel Physical Damage	816	21	53	5	0	0	120	238	21	43	97	1,414
13.	Total	92,697	4,521	43,624	4,975	7,729	5,160	14,891	50,097	3,671	10,966	13,379	251,710

Public Statistics for the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Damages Settlement
May 1, 2015

Table 5	Payment Information							
	Claim Type	Eligibility Notices Issued with Payment Offer		Accepted Offers		Payments Made		
		Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Number	Amount	Unique Claimants Paid
1.	Seafood Compensation Program	12,550	\$1,480,248,827	11,534	\$1,464,673,050	11,222	\$1,443,896,652	4,872
2.	Individual Economic Loss	6,186	\$76,072,958	5,890	\$74,037,362	5,677	\$69,411,545	5,677
3.	Individual Periodic Vendor or Festival Vendor Economic Loss	8	\$77,085	8	\$77,085	8	\$77,085	8
4.	Business Economic Loss	19,419	\$3,196,613,459	18,489	\$3,061,400,258	17,211	\$2,725,770,510	16,189
5.	Start-Up Business Economic Loss	678	\$126,725,304	641	\$120,815,607	622	\$112,530,797	592
6.	Failed Business Economic Loss	37	\$3,111,980	33	\$2,906,308	30	\$2,877,487	30
7.	Coastal Real Property	27,612	\$153,024,015	27,111	\$150,088,934	26,724	\$148,145,618	21,018
8.	Wetlands Real Property	6,178	\$177,363,010	6,038	\$153,734,416	5,898	\$152,793,195	1,530
9.	Real Property Sales	814	\$38,918,417	803	\$38,510,113	798	\$38,384,925	704
10.	Subsistence	11,366	\$80,048,077	7,914	\$61,749,839	7,375	\$57,394,000	7,375
11.	VoO Charter Payment	7,033	\$280,901,237	7,013	\$278,878,509	6,972	\$277,818,018	5,306
12.	Vessel Physical Damage	816	\$12,772,911	810	\$12,687,079	778	\$11,959,413	726
13.	Totals on DWH Releases	92,697	\$5,625,877,278	86,284	\$5,419,558,560	83,315	\$5,041,059,244	59,946
14.	Paid As 40% Payments to Claimants with Transition Payments					3,231	\$53,212,125	3,231
15.	Total Payments:					86,546	\$5,094,271,369	63,177

Table 6	Appeals Received			
	Resolved Appeals			
	Appeal Status	BP Appeals	Claimant Appeals	Total Appeals
1.	Resolved by Panel Decision	2,301	1,308	3,609
2.	Resolved by Parties	863	90	953
3.	Withdrawn	364	47	411
4.	Administratively Closed	423	64	487
5.	Inactive Under Reconsideration/Re-Review	217	0	217
6.	Remand to Claims Administrator	141	69	210
7.	Return for Review Under Policy 495	1,445	90	1,535
8.	Total	5,754	1,668	7,422
Pending Appeals				
9.	In "Baseball" Process	150	29	179
10.	In "Non-Baseball" Process	0	95	95
11.	Submitted to Panel	86	24	110
12.	Under Discretionary Court Review	156	97	253
13.	Total	392	245	637
Grand Total				
14.		6,146	1,913	8,059

Public Statistics for the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Damages Settlement
May 1, 2015

Chart 4: Registration and Claim Forms Filed by Month




Chart 5: Notices Issued by Month




Chart 6: Payments Made by Month





Chart 7: Appeal Resolutions by Month



Public Statistics for the Deepwater Horizon Economic and Property Damages Settlement

May 1, 2015

Legend:

1. Form Begun - Includes electronically filed registration or claim forms for the period of time between the moment a claimant or his attorney has initiated the submission of a form and moment they complete that filing by submitting the electronic signature. This definition also includes hard copy registration or claim forms where the DWH Intake Team is in the process of linking the scanned images and has not yet completed the data entry on that form.
2. Form Submitted - Includes electronically filed registration or claim forms after the claimant or his attorney completes the electronic signature and clicks the submit button. This definition also includes hard copy registration or claim forms where the DWH Intake Team has completed both the linking of scanned images and the data entry on that form.
3. Unique Claimants with Form Submitted - Counts the unique number of claimants with at least one Claim Form Submitted for each Claim Type. Because claimants may file claims for more than one Claim Type, the sum of all Claim Types will not equal the count of total unique claimants.
4. Filings by Claimant Assistance Center- The following Claimant Assistance Centers in Table 3 and Chart 3 are closed: Bayou La Batre, AL, Gulf Shores, AL, Apalachicola, FL, Ft. Walton Beach, FL, Naples, FL, Grand Isle, LA, Gretna/Harvey, LA, Houma, LA, New Orleans East, LA, Bay St. Louis, MS, Bridge City, TX.
5. Notices Issued - The Seafood Compensation Program row (row 1) of Table 4 includes Seafood Supplemental Distribution Claims. The count of Notices Issued in Table 4 counts each unique claim issued a Notice only once. For claims issued multiple Notices, this report uses the following hierarchy when counting the claim: (1) Eligibility Notice if the claim has been paid; (2) Most recent active Notice if the claim has not been paid; (3) If the claim has been closed it will not be counted as an Eligibility Notice unless the claim has been paid. The count of Notices Issued in Chart 5, counts all Notices Issued and reports claims with multiple Notices once for each Notice issued. Because of this, the totals reported in Table 4 do not match the totals reported in Chart 5.
6. Payment Information - The timing of payment can be affected by a number of factors. Even after the DHECC receives a Release, delay in receipt of a W-9, or in receipt of the Attorney Fee Acknowledgment Form can delay payment. In addition, any alterations or omissions on the Release Form, or an assertion of a third-party lien against an award amount, can delay payment. As a result, this report will show a higher number of Accepted Offers than Amounts Paid. The Seafood Compensation Program row (row 1) of Table 5 includes Seafood Supplemental Distribution Claims.
7. Appeals Received - Excludes Appeals closed pursuant to 4/24/2013 Court Order.
8. Note: The Claims Administrator continually monitors the status of all claim filings. Through this process, the Claims Administrator may find duplicate claims from the same claimant. In such cases, the Claims Administrator will close the duplicate claim and only process the remaining valid claim. This report excludes duplicate claims from all counts of claims filed.
9. Note: The Seafood Supplemental Distribution Notices are included in Exhibit A as appropriate.
10. Note: The final deadline for filing all claims other than those that fall into the Seafood Compensation Program is 6/8/15.